9

## Nitrogen processes in the atmosphere

Lead author: Ole Hertel

Contributing authors: Stefan Reis, Carsten Ambelas Skjøth, Albert Bleeker, Roy Harrison, John Neil Cape, David Fowler, Ute Skiba, David Simpson, Tim Jickells, Alex Baker, Markku Kulmala, Steen Gyldenkærne, Lise Lotte Sørensen and Jan Willem Erisman

## **Executive summary**

### Nature of the problem

- The two main groups of atmospheric reactive nitrogen compounds (reduced and oxidized nitrogen) have different fates due to differences in governing processes.
- · Abatement strategies need to take into account these differences when assessing the impact on the sensitive ecosystems.

### **Approaches**

- The chapter outlines the governing physical and chemical processes for the two main groups of reactive nitrogen compounds.
- The chapter is divided into sections concerning: emissions, transformation, aerosol processes, dry deposition and wet deposition.

### Key findings/state of knowledge

- Reactive nitrogen compounds consist of reduced nitrogen (ammonia and its reaction product ammonium), oxidized nitrogen (nitrogen oxides) and organic nitrogen compounds.
- Nitrogen oxides have little impact close to the sources since they are emitted as nitrogen monoxide and nitrogen dioxide with low dry deposition rates. These compounds need to be converted into nitric acid (about 5% per hour) before deposition is efficient.
- Ammonia has a high impact near the sources due to high dry deposition rates. Ammonia may therefore have significant impact on ecosystems in areas with intense agricultural activity leading to high emissions of ammonia.
- Both ammonia and gaseous nitrogen oxides lead to formation of aerosol phase compounds (ammonium and nitrate) which are transported over long distances (up to more than 1000 km).
- Very little is known either quantitatively or qualitatively about organic nitrogen compounds, other than that they can contribute a significant fraction of wet-deposited N, and are present in gaseous and particulate forms in the atmosphere.

### Major uncertainties/challenges

- Ambient air concentrations of reactive nitrogen compounds are fairly well (often within +/- 20%-30%) reproduced by state-of-the-art models, but estimates of deposition are most more uncertain (often more than +/- 50%).
- Bidirectional fluxes of reactive nitrogen are still not well understood.
- Sources and forms of organic nitrogen deposition are largely unknown.

### Recommendations

• There is a significant need for studies of fluxes of reactive nitrogen compounds over sensitive ecosystems. These studies need to include detailed field studies, parameterization, application and testing of chemistry-transport models.

The European Nitrogen Assessment, ed. Mark A. Sutton, Clare M. Howard, Jan Willem Erisman, Gilles Billen, Albert Bleeker, Peringe Grennfelt, Hans van Grinsven and Bruna Grizzetti. Published by Cambridge University Press. © Cambridge University Press 2011, with sections © authors/European Union.

### 9.1 Introduction

The Nr compounds take part in a series of chemical and physical processes in the atmosphere. Figure 9.1 outlines the main pathways of N<sub>r</sub> compounds in the atmosphere. In this context N<sub>2</sub>O is disregarded, as it is mainly of importance in the stratosphere, and this compound is therefore only scarcely treated in the following. The left side of the figure illustrates path ways of NH<sub>x</sub> whereas path ways of NO<sub>y</sub> are illustrated to the right. However, the full picture is more complex than shown in the simple sketch. The aim of this chapter is thus to outline state-of-the-art of what is known to govern turnover processes for N<sub>r</sub> compounds in the troposphere. The chapter will therefore describe anthropogenic and biogenic N emissions (Section 9.2), transformations of gas phase N compounds in the atmosphere (Section 9.3), aerosol processes involving N compounds (Section 9.4), as well as dry (Section 9.5) and wet (Section 9.6) deposition to both terrestrial and marine ecosystems of both gas phase and aerosol phase N compounds. Atmospheric transport and dispersion of N<sub>r</sub> compounds is handled in Simpson et al. 2011 (Chapter 14, this volume), which also deals with the assessment of atmospheric N deposition.

## 9.2 Emissions of N compounds to the atmosphere

The  $N_r$  released to the atmosphere consists of three parts:  $NH_3$ ,  $NO_x$ , and  $N_2O$ , respectively, with smaller (and poorly quantified) contributions from organic compounds such as amines.

## 9.2.1 Ammonia emission handling

Agricultural activities are the dominating sources of  $NH_3$  emissions (Bouwman *et al.*, 1997). In western countries these activities contribute 85%–100% of the atmospheric releases (Anderson *et al.*, 2003; Sutton *et al.*, 2000), and e.g. in Denmark 98% of the anthropogenic  $NH_3$  emissions are related to agricultural production with the remaining 2% being emitted from petrol cars with catalytic converters (Gyldenkærne *et al.*, 2005; Mikkelsen *et al.*, 2005).

 $NH_3$  emission from animal waste is a physical process taking place from wet surfaces (Elzing and Monteny, 1997). The process is highly temperature dependent and varies significantly over day and season (Gyldenkærne *et al.*, 2005; Skjøth *et al.*, 2004). The regional variation is a function of production methods and agricultural practice, whereas temporal variations depend mainly on meteorology (but equally on agricultural practice). Organic bound N in the manure is not a direct source of  $NH_3$ . The emission strength is therefore mainly related to the manure or fertilizers content of TAN (Total Ammoniacal N =  $NH_3 + NH_4^+$ ), pH, temperature, and wind speed. The agricultural sources of  $NH_3$  may be grouped as:

- point sources, i.e. animal houses and manure storages,
- application of manure and mineral fertilizer to the fields,
- grazing animals,
- other sources including plants.

These categories are in the following described in detail with focus on a parameterization of spatial and temporal variation developed for the Danish area (Gyldenkærne *et al.*, 2005), and



**Figure 9.1** Illustration of the path ways of reactive nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) in the atmosphere. The left side of the figure illustrates the atmospheric path ways of NH<sub>x</sub> (gas phase ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and aerosol phase ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) compounds, and the right side of the figure illustrates path ways of the NO<sub>y</sub> (NO<sub>x</sub> and reaction products) compounds. NH<sub>3</sub> is emitted mainly from agricultural sources. In the atmosphere it is subject to transport and dispersion, but also scavenging by dry deposition and by transformation to aerosol bound NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in reactions with acid gases and aerosols. Aerosol bound NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> has generally a long lifetime in the atmosphere and may therefore be transported over long distances (>1000 km). The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> containing aerosols are mainly removed by wet deposition. Nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>y</sub>) are emitted to the atmosphere as NO<sub>x</sub> (the sum of nitrogen monoxide (NO) and nitrogen discusse (NO<sub>y</sub>), where also these compounds are subject to transport and dispersion. NO<sub>2</sub> may be dry deposited to the vegetation, but it is mainly scavenged from the atmosphere by reaction with OH radical in the formation of nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>). HNO<sub>3</sub> has a very short lifetime in the atmosphere, since it is quickly scavenged by uptake in aerosols, reaction with NH<sub>3</sub> or by dry deposition (it sticks to any surface – aerosol as well as ground). Uptake in aerosols or formation of new aerosols by the reaction with NH<sub>3</sub> or by dry deposition (it sticks to any surface – aerosol as well as ground). Uptake in aerosols or formation NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) are mainly scavenged by wet deposition. Aerosol phase NH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> may under certain circumstances depending on humidity and temperature – be released back to gas phase NH<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub>. N<sub>2</sub>O is excluded in the figure, as it does not play an important role in the atmospheric N deposition. For simplicity, reservoir compounds like HONO, HO<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, PAN and PAN-like species have also been disregarded from the sketch. Source: Hertel *et al.* (2006).

currently considered as the best and most advanced (Pinder *et al.*, 2007). The parameterization has been implemented into the atmospheric transport models: the ACDEP model (Skjøth *et al.*, 2004), the Danish Eulerian Hemispheric Model (DEHM), the Unified EMEP model (Fagerli *et al.*, 2007), and the local scale model OML-DEP (Sommer *et al.*, 2009).

### Animal houses and manure storages

Significant variations in NH<sub>3</sub> emissions are found for different types of animals and housings (Koerkamp et al., 1998). The variations are related to amount of TAN in the manure, stable temperature, and ventilation rate. Highly complex surface models describe the NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from agricultural buildings (Muck and Steenhuis, 1982; Olesen and Sommer, 1993; Oudendag and Luesink, 1998; Zhang et al., 1994), but are not applicable for large scale modelling (Gyldenkærne et al., 2005; Pinder et al., 2004). A simplified parameterization based on wind speed and ambient air temperature is in this case a more practical approach. Most inventories are using total N or TAN content in the manure together with fixed average emission factors (EF) for different types of animals, housing systems, and manure applications. The EF should be location specific and account for local conditions, and such data are currently being processed for the entire European area.

At large scales, it has been shown that the variation in emissions E(t) from stables and storages may be described by a simple parameterization (Gyldenkærne *et al.*, 2005; Skjøth *et al.*, 2004):

$$E(t) = C \times T(t)^{0.89} x V(t)^{0.26}, \qquad (9.1)$$

where *C* is a constant which can be related to the amount of N or TAN in the manure at a given time and location, T(t) is the temperature as function of time, and *V* is the wind speed or the rate of ventilation. This formula may help to distribute a known annual emission into smaller time step v.

Concerning the differences between animal types, pig and poultry have a critical temperature that is relatively high compared with cattle. This means that in Northern Europe stables with pigs and poultry are heated during winter. The magnitude of the overall annual emission from a given manure storage reflects the type of storage, whereas the temporal variation in the emission reflects variations in ambient air temperature (Gyldenkærne *et al.*, 2005). In warm areas and during warm periods of time, the emissions from all buildings will reflect outdoor temperatures. Buildings containing pigs and poultry thus have significant emissions also during cold periods, when cattle barns and storages have low emission rates.

Applying the parameterization in equation (9.1) and the simplified functions shown in Figure 9.2, the temporal variation in  $NH_3$  emissions may be simulated. Figure 9.3 shows as an example of calculated temporal variation in  $NH_3$  emission from a pig stable, cattle barn and manure storage.

#### Application of manure and mineral fertilizer

The emission of NH<sub>3</sub> from field application of manure and mineral fertilizer takes place at distinct times of year with



Figure 9.2 The indoor temperature as function of outdoor ambient air temperature for (a) isolated stables, (b) open barns. Ventilation rate for (c) isolated stables as function of ambient air temperatures, and (d) open barns as function of day of year.

relatively short duration compared with point source emissions. The application method is crucial for the magnitude of the emission. Very high emissions are related to the application



Figure 9.3 Simulations for open and isolated stables and manure storages. The top figure shows the calculated daily mean temperature, and the lower figure shows the calculated daily emission at Tange, Denmark in the year 2000. Source: Ellermann *et al.* (2004).

of broad spread methods, whereas soil injection methods lead to very low emissions. National regulations may in some cases play a significant role with regards to the seasonal variation. In some countries manure application is constrained with almost no regulation, whereas in many North European countries manure application is abandoned during winter time. To overcome a shortage in manure storage capacity farmers often empty their slurry tanks in the autumn. This may give high emissions in the autumn. The timing of mineral fertilizers and the related NH<sub>3</sub> differ also between regions giving different temporal emission patterns, where farmers in the Southern parts of Europe initiate application of fertilizer earlier than in Northern Europe. Figure 9.4 shows the temporal variation in NH<sub>3</sub> emission having four typical application times during the year 2000 at Tange in Denmark.

#### **Emission of NH**<sub>3</sub> from grazing animals

The emission from grazing animals depends on time spent in the field and N content in the grass. The latter leads to excretion of large amounts of excessive N as TAN compared with more extensive grassland. However, the urine is easily entering the soil, which will lower the emission potential compared to surface applied slurry. In Southern Europe, animals are in the field most of the year. Sheep may remain in the field most of the time, whereas dairy cattle in many countries are inside



**Figure 9.4** The emission strength and temporal variation in NH<sub>3</sub> emission in the Tange area in Denmark related to application of manure during spring, summer and autumn (top) and mineral fertilizer during spring and summer (bottom). Source: Ellermann *et al.* (2004).

the stables approximately half of the year (see e.g. *Regional Air Pollution INformation and Simulation* model (RAINS) database (RAINS, 2010)). The number of grazing animals will in general follow the availability of grass, or the season of growth. There is little knowledge on the effect of temperature on the NH<sub>3</sub> emission.

### Emission of NH<sub>3</sub> from other sources

Legumes and plants taking up excess fertilizer are emitting NH<sub>3</sub> (Larsson et al., 1998). The emission depends on the enrichment of the apoplast with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and the compensation point (Farquhar et al., 1980) (see Section 9.5), which is a function of the plant status with respect to growth and stress, etc. The emission is still not well described with respect to magnitude, as well as temporal and spatial variation. Emissions from non-agricultural sources are in general not well described but include sweat from humans, exhaust from gasoline cars with catalytic converters, excreta from pets and wild animals, and evaporation from waste deposits (Sutton et al., 2000). In Europe, the largest national non-agricultural NH<sub>3</sub> emission has been reported for the UK with a fraction of about 15% (Sutton et al., 2000), compared with about 2% reported for Denmark (it has been disputed whether the Danish inventory accounts for natural NH<sub>3</sub> emissions).



154 - 10000	100001 - 250000	1000001 - 2500000	10000001 - 25000000
10001 - 50000	250001 - 500000	2500001 - 5000000	25000001 - 50000000
50001 - 100000	500001 - 1000000	5000001 - 10000000	



√H₃ emissions [kkg] per grid							
	1 - 10		51 - 100		501 - 1000	5001 - 10000	
	11 - 25		101 - 250		1001 - 2500	10001 - 25000	
	26 - 50		251 - 500		2501 - 5000		



Figure 9.5 Annual NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in Europe based on: (top left) Edgar data from 1995 (1° × 1°) in kg NH<sub>3</sub>/grid cell, (top right) EMEP data from 2000 (50 km × 50 km) in Mg NH<sub>3</sub>/grid cell, and (bottom) NERI data based on EMEP and GENEMIS data (16.67 km × 16.67 km) in tonnes NH<sub>3</sub>/grid cell.





**Figure 9.6** The relative distribution between different NH<sub>3</sub> sources in national emissions. Data derived for the year 2000 (Hertel *et al.*, 2006).

### Spatial distribution in NH<sub>3</sub> emissions

At the European level, EMEP (Co-operative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP, 2010)) and CORINAIR (CORe INventory AIR emissions) compile inventories of the annual mean emissions on a grid with a spatial resolution of 50 km  $\times$  50 km. The EDGAR (Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research; EDGAR, 2010) and GEIA (Global Emissions Inventory Activity; GEIA, 2010) databases are available on  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  resolutions, and the EUROTRAC (EUREKA project on the transport and chemical transformation of trace constituents in the troposphere over Europe) GENEMIS (Generation and Evaluation of Emission Data) project (Eurotrac, 2010) compiled inventories with a grid resolution of 16.67 km  $\times$  16.67 km. The GENEMIS data was for the year 1994, but has in some studies been used to redistribute EMEP emission inventories for following years, assuming unchanged relative distribution over the years (Hertel et al., 2002; Spokes et al., 2006). NH<sub>3</sub> emission has evidently a non-uniform distribution throughout Europe (Figure 9.5).

Figure 9.6 shows the relative national distribution in NH<sub>3</sub> emission between the typical agricultural emission source categories.

#### Site specific long term trends in NH<sub>3</sub> emissions

In Denmark before 1989, the  $NH_3$  emission was relatively low during winter time as a result of low activity and low temperatures. The accumulated manure during winter was applied to crops in the fields during spring, but also to grass fields during summer. Finally the manure storages were emptied in autumn (Figure 9.7). This pattern is typical in Northern Europe with moderate to large agricultural activity and limited legislative control.

In the 1990s Denmark implemented the until now strongest European regulation on local agricultural activities in order to reduce emissions to air, soil and water (Grant and Blicher-Mathiesen, 2004; Skjøth *et al.*, 2008). This included improving the entire production chain with respect to



**Figure 9.7** Temporal variation in daily NH<sub>3</sub> emission [g N/ha/day] from different sources in Tange, Denmark for the years 1989 (top) and 2000 (bottom). Source: Skjøth *et al.* (2008).

reducing  $NH_3$  emissions. The farmers had to increase the fraction of manure applied during growth of the crops in spring, and similarly decrease the fraction applied in summer and autumn. This is seen in Figure 9.8, where the emission peak in spring is more pronounced and emissions in summer and autumn reduced when comparing 1989 to 2000. The overall Danish release of  $NH_3$  was decreased significantly (Table 9.1) despite an increase in animal production over this period (Skjøth *et al.*, 2008).

### Long term trends in NH<sub>3</sub> emissions on European scale

The  $NH_3$  emissions have been reduced in countries like Denmark, Germany and the Netherlands, whereas for

– Concentration 🛶 Emission • Linear emission trend — Linear concentration trend 3.5 3 Relative value 2.5 2 п 1989 1991 1993 1995 1997 1999 2001 2003 Year

Tange concentration and emission changes from 1989-2003

**Figure 9.8** Comparison of the trends in computed monthly mean  $NH_3$  emissions (pink) and observed monthly mean ambient air  $NH_3$  concentrations (blue) for the Danish monitoring station Tange during the time period 1989 to 2003 (Skjøth *et al.*, 2008). All values are relative to the annual mean in 1989.

Table 9.1 Annual emission of ammonia (ktonnes N) from selected European countries during the period 1985 to 2000

	1985	1990	1995	2000
Denmark	113	109	92	83
Germany	706	630	523	514
Netherlands	204	187	153	126
France	642	628	624	649
Sweden	44	42	50	46
Norway	19	19	21	21
Finland	35	31	29	27



**Figure 9.9** Trend in NH<sub>3</sub> emissions on European scale for the period 1990 to 2005, and further projected to 2010. Source: EMEP (2010).

countries like France, Sweden and Norway only very small changes have occurred over the past 15 years (Table 9.1). Over the EMEP domain, emissions have decreased since 1990, and projections point at a further decrease in 2010 (Figure 9.9).

### 9.2.2 Nitrogen oxide emission handling

Nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x \text{ is the sum of nitrogen monoxide (NO)})$  and nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$  are generated at high temperatures

in combustion processes mainly from oxidation of free atmospheric nitrogen ( $N_2$ ).

### **European emission inventories**

EMEP - For Europe various inventories on different scales and compiled with different objectives are available. National inventories are aimed at fulfilling the obligations due to international agreements and conventions, e.g. the European Commissions' National Emission Ceilings Directive (NECD) or the UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP). NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are subject to the NECD and the NO<sub>x</sub> Protocol as well as the Gothenburg Protocol under CLRTAP. While the NECD covers only EU member states, the CLRTAP protocols cover the whole UNECE region (see Figure 9.10). However, not all countries have ratified all protocols and thus may not be obliged to report. These inventories focus on anthropogenic emissions and sources, but biogenic and natural emissions are reported by some countries. The officially reported emissions are often incomplete and subject to gaps, and a gap-filling procedures have thus to be applied when used, i.e. in modelling (see example in Figure 9.10).

*EDGAR* – In contrast to the officially reported compilations of national emissions, the EDGAR (EDGAR, 2010) is an example of an independent bottom-up inventory with global coverage for all main pollutants and greenhouse gases and compiled from expert estimates and available emission factors and activity data.

### **National inventories**

European inventories provide spatial resolution of e.g.  $50 \text{ km} \times 50 \text{ km}$  (Figure 9.10) or  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ . For many European countries, however, data are available at  $10 \text{ km} \times 10 \text{ km}$ ,  $5 \text{ km} \times 5 \text{ km}$  or even  $1 \text{ km} \times 1 \text{ km}$  (see Figure 9.11). Vehicle emissions can be computed using an emission module in the Danish Operational Street Pollution Model (OSPM, 2010). This module uses COPERT IV (see COPERT, 2010) emission factors and a road and traffic database. A GIS script compiles kilometres travelled as function of road type and vehicle category (Jensen *et al.*, 2008) on a  $1 \text{ km} \times 1 \text{ km}$  grid, and another programme (UrbEmi) calculates the road traffic emissions.

### EMEP WEBDAB NO<sub>x</sub> emissions for 2005

National Totals, including shipping **Unit:** Gg



Table 9.2NOx sectoral contribution based on EDGAR data for Europe inthe year 2000, including 37 countries (source: FT32, EDGAR 2010)

Sector	<b>Emissions in Gg</b>	Share
Power generation	6721.75	25.2%
Industrial production	4092.07	15.4%
Residential & commercial combustion	1603.53	6.0%
Road transport	6085.19	22.9%
Other mobile sources	3646.38	13.7%
International shipping	3012.02	11.3%
Air transport	613.80	2.3%
Waste incineration	17.23	0.1%
Biomass & agricultural burning	829.81	3.1%
Total	26 621.79	

Data from: EU27, Croatia, FYR of Macedonia, Turkey, Switzerland, Norway, Albania, Russian Federation, Bosnia & Herzegovina, Ukraine, Federal Republic of Yugoslavia

### **Key source analysis**

The source sectors are summarized in Table 9.2. The bulk of  $NO_x$  emissions in Europe stem from both stationary and mobile combustion sources. Road transport and public power generation are by far the largest contributors to  $NO_x$  emissions, followed by residential and commercial combustion and offroad sources.

The NatAir project (NatAir, 2010) compiled emissions of NO from forest and agricultural soils at about 98.22 Gg for

**Figure 9.10** European NO<sub>x</sub> emissions on the 50 km × 50 km EMEP grid for the year 2000 (EMEP, 2010).

the EU15 (Friedrich, 2002). Up-scaled to the entire European area, this is pointing at forest and agricultural NO emissions in the order of 200 to 300 Gg NO yr<sup>-1</sup>. Emissions from lightning activities calculated within NatAir show 325 Gg yr<sup>-1</sup> for the EU15 region, which according to the EDGAR inventory is in the same order as biomass and agricultural burning emissions.

### Past and future trends

Trends for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the period 1990 to 2005 have steadily pointed downwards (Figure 9.12) due to legislation to reduce emissions from stationary sources (e.g. the EC Large Combustion Plants Directive) and mobile sources (mainly the EURO emission standards for vehicles), amounting to approx. 34% reduction of EU27 emissions. Further reductions are anticipated with legislation for road transport sources over the next few years. A review on European NO<sub>x</sub> emissions with emphasis on road transport is provided in Vestreng et al. (2008). Commitments for phasing out nuclear energy may, however, lead to a replacement of nuclear by biomass or coal burning plants and thereby increase emissions from this sector. International shipping emissions are rising steadily and this increase is projected to continue, although the reductions from regulating quality of marine fuels and other abatement strategies are not accounted for in these projections.

 $NO_x$  to  $NO_2$  ratio – Ambient  $NO_2$  concentrations do not decrease at the same rate as  $NO_x$  in European hotspots (Carslaw *et al.*, 2007; Lambrecht, 2007), mainly due to increasing  $NO_x/NO_2$  ratio in late diesel technology vehicles. Hourly  $NO_2$  limit values are mandatory in Europe from 2010 (EC Daughter Directive 99/30/EC, and primary  $NO_2$  in vehicle



© Crown copyright. All rights reserved Defra, Licence number 100022861 [2010].



**Figure 9.11** National high resolution maps of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, displaying (a) UK National Atmospheric Emission Inventory data (Source: UK NAEI: http://www.naei.org.uk/mapping/mapping-2008.php; Crown copyright) and (b) spatial distribution of NO<sub>x</sub> road emissions in Denmark in 2004 on a  $1 \times 1 \text{ km}^2$  grid.



Figure 9.12 Sector trends in European NO<sub>x</sub> emissions 1880–2005 (unit TgNO<sub>2</sub>) (Vestreng *et al.*, 2008).

exhausts may thus need to be addressed in future emission inventories.

Secondary emission control options of especially large stationary combustion units include selective catalytic reduction (SCR). A common problem with SCR systems is the release of unreacted NH<sub>3</sub> referred to as *ammonia slip*. Slip can occur when catalyst temperatures are not in the optimal range for the reaction or when too much NH<sub>3</sub> is injected. SCR systems are increasingly used also for mobile sources, specifically heavy duty trucks, where ammonia slip is an issue more difficult to optimize than for stationary combustion units.

### Ecosystems and soil NO and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

Globally, agricultural soils represent the largest soil source of N<sub>2</sub>O (54%), followed by tropical wet forests (21%) and temperate forests, savannahs and natural grasslands contribution at almost equal parts to the remaining 25%. The impact of N fertilizer on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in temperate climates is well studied, whereas less is known about agricultural systems in tropical countries or tropical wet forest systems. Ongoing measurements in tropical forest and agricultural systems in Borneo suggest much larger emissions in wet tropical countries than in temperate regions (Nemitz and Skiba, personal communication). Of the agricultural soils intensively managed grasslands in the UK, Netherlands and other temperate climates are hotspots of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, because (a) grasslands receive larger rates of mineral fertilizer and manure, (b) grasslands occur in high rainfall regions, and (c) grazed grasslands have compacted soils. Such conditions all favour NO production.

 $NO_x$  emission from soils may represent >40% of the emissions at the global scale (Davidson and Kingerlee, 1997; IGAC, 2000), and >10% for some European countries (Butterbach-Bahl *et al.*, 2004; Skiba *et al.*, 1997; Stohl *et al.*, 1996). Emissions resulting from fertilizer use could represent 40% of soil emissions at global scale (IGAC, 2000) and up to 65% for the USA (Hall *et al.*, 1996). Rural agricultural areas receiving N fertilizers in countries with long dry periods are likely the largest sources of soil NO. The NitroEurope Integrated Project (NEU, 2010) and the *NOFRETETE* (*Nitrogen oxides emissions from European Forest Ecosystems*) project point at Europe forests as large sources of NO (Pilegaard *et al.*, 2006). The coniferous forest at Höglwald,

DE, receiving high atmospheric N deposition is a large source of NO, whereas the boreal forest at Hyytiälä, FI, and moorland sites in FI and UK have very small emissions (Skiba *et al.*, 1997). In semi-natural/natural ecosystems that do not receive N from fertilization or grazing, atmospheric N deposition significantly affect NO and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The NOFRETETE project showed correlation between N deposition rates and NO emissions from coniferous forest soils (Pilegaard *et al.*, 2006). Along a wet deposition gradient in Cumbria (17–40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), a linear relationship was observed between wet deposition of N, KCl extractable NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from semi-natural grassland on peat (Skiba *et al.*, 2007).

## 9.3 Transformation of N compounds in the atmosphere

The following section provides a description of atmospheric transformation processes of  $N_r$  and highlights where these processes play a significant role.

# 9.3.1 Reactions between NH<sub>3</sub> and acid gases and aerosols

In the reactions between gas phase  $NH_3$  and gas phase acids, new aerosol particles are formed. However,  $NH_3$  may also condense onto existing atmospheric particles. Gaseous  $NH_3$  will practically always react with sulphuric acid  $(H_2SO_4)$  in gas or aerosol phase, if  $H_2SO_4$  is present.  $H_2SO_4$  is formed from gas phase oxidation of  $SO_2$  by hydroxyl (OH) radical or from aerosol phase conversion by hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  and ozone  $(O_3)$ . The later process is pH dependent, and may in fact be catalysed by  $NH_3$ , since uptake of  $NH_3$  increases the pH of aerosols (Apsimon *et al.*, 1994; Junge and Ryan, 1958). The reaction between  $NH_3$  and  $H_2SO_4$  is usually considered irreversible. In traditional CTM, it is thus common to describe the reaction as irreversible and taking place in two steps forming ammonium bisulphate ( $NH_4$ ) $HSO_4$  and ammonium sulphate ( $NH_4$ ) $_2SO_4$  (Hov *et al.*, 1994), respectively:

$$NH_3 + H_2SO_4 \rightarrow NH_4HSO_4$$
(9.2)

$$\mathrm{NH}_3 + \mathrm{NH}_4 \mathrm{HSO}_4 \to (\mathrm{NH}_4)_2 \mathrm{SO}_4. \tag{9.3}$$

The rate of reaction between  $NH_3$  and  $H_2SO_4$  has been analysed in detail in laboratory studies (Baldwin and Golden, 1979; Gupta *et al.*, 1995; Huntzicker *et al.*, 1980; McMurry *et al.*, 1983). At high *RH*, the limiting factor for the transformation is the molecular diffusion of  $NH_3$  to the acid particles, whereas at low *RH* only between 10% and 40% of the collisions between  $NH_3$  gas molecules and  $H_2SO_4$ -containing particles lead to reaction (Huntzicker *et al.*, 1980; McMurry *et al.*, 1983). For small particles, the relatively large surface area makes the diffusion process more efficient. Organic material on the surface of the particles may, however, limit the uptake of  $NH_3$  (Daumer *et al.*, 1992). Whereas the NH<sub>3</sub> reaction with  $H_2SO_4$  may be considered irreversible, this is not the case for the reactions with other acid gaseous compounds. Presence of nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) and/or hydrochloric acid (HCl) together with NH<sub>3</sub> lead to equilibrium between these gases and their aerosol phase reaction products – the ammonium salts: ammonium nitrate (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) and ammonium chloride (NH<sub>4</sub>Cl). For the reaction with HNO<sub>3</sub> this may be expressed as:

$$NH_3 + HNO_3 \leftrightarrow NH_4NO_3.$$
 (9.4)

Experimental studies show that to a good approximation an equilibrium product,  $k_{eq} = [\text{NH}_3][\text{HNO}_3]$ , of the gas phase concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> at saturation of the air, may be expressed by a function depending solely on temperature and humidity (Stelson *et al.*, 1979; Stelson and Seinfeld, 1982). The RH at the point of deliquescence  $RH_d$  [%] = 856.23/T + 1.2306, and

$$\ln(K) = \ln(K_{eq}) = 0.78 - \frac{24,220}{T} - 6.1 \frac{\ln(T)}{298} RH < RH_d$$
(9.5)

$$\ln(K) = \ln(K_{eq}) - \frac{20,75 + \ln(K_{eq})}{101 - RH} \left[ \frac{RH - RH_d}{100 - RH_d} \right] RH \ge RH_d$$
(9.6)

where *T* is in K. Besides the reactions with  $H_2SO_4$  and  $HNO_3$ ,  $NH_3$  may also take part with HCl and form  $NH_4Cl$  (Pio and Harrison, 1987a):

$$HNO_3 + NaCl \rightarrow NaNO_3 + HCl \qquad (9.7)$$

$$NH_3 + HCl \leftrightarrow NH_4Cl.$$
 (9.8)

Whereas HCl is a primary pollutant emitted by coal burning and incineration,  $HNO_3$  is the main secondary pollutant from oxidation of  $NO_x$  emissions (see Section 9.3.3). New measurement data indicate that in NW Europe, HCl concentrations are similar to those of  $HNO_3$  in summertime, in terms of mixing ratio. However,  $NH_4Cl$  concentrations are much lower than  $NH_4NO_3$  concentrations. HCl is emitted from anthropogenic sources, but it is also released in displacement reaction in sea spray particles when these take up  $HNO_3$  (Wall *et al.*, 1988):

$$NaCl + HNO_3 \rightarrow NaNO_3 + HCl.$$
 (9.9)

In the first EMEP model this reaction was accounted for by a first order decay of HNO<sub>3</sub> of  $10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, and a reverse reaction rate coefficient of half this size (Hov *et al.*, 1994). Measurements in California showed that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the coarseparticle mode is primarily associated with high Na<sup>+</sup> levels in marine air (Wall *et al.*, 1988), and that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in course fraction particles has a peak at 3 µm diameter, where the product of the Na and mass distribution also peaks. This displacement reaction is thus the most likely explanation for HCl concentrations of up to 250 pptv (Harris *et al.*, 1992) observed in the marine boundary layer. Experimental studies have determined an equilibrium product at saturation of the air with the two gases  $NH_3$  and HCl (Pio and Harrison, 1987b). See also Figure 9.13.

NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl are semi-volatile and the salts are deliquescent under most tropospheric conditions in northern Europe and may dissolve in pre-existing aerosol droplets or absorb onto the surface of any pre-existing aerosol particles. Thereby NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> are incorporated in suspended particulate matter in the particle size range, mainly in the submicron accumulation mode size-range, and therefore contribute to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>, the metrics used for human health assessment (Moldanova et al., 2011, Chapter 18 this volume). It may be reasonable to assume equilibrium of NH<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub> and HCl. However, observations of particle size distribution of inorganic N, S, and Cl species in maritime air over the North Sea show products of partial pressures of [NH<sub>3</sub>][HNO<sub>3</sub>] and [NH<sub>3</sub>][HCl] that often fall below the theoretical lines of equilibrium (Ottley and Harrison, 1992), and one should therefore be careful when applying the assumption of equilibrium. This is due to sources and sinks, but mainly because the theoretical lines are for pure salts, while the co-existence of sulphate in the aerosol can dramatically decrease the equilibrium vapour concentration product.

# 9.3.2 Changed $NH_3$ to $NH_4^+$ conversion rate due to changes in S emissions

Early experiments carried out by Mckay (1971) showed that 50% of the available NH<sub>3</sub> is converted into ammonium sulphate in about 35 minutes, based on concentrations present in the atmosphere at that time (20  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> SO<sub>2</sub> and 2.7  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> NH<sub>3</sub>). Models like the EMEP Unified Model assume an instantaneous and irreversible formation of ammonium sulphate, only limited by the availability of the least abundant of NH<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Any excess NH<sub>3</sub> may then react with HNO<sub>3</sub>, forming NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>. Over the last decades, a dramatic decrease in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions occurred (Figure 9.14). Especially in the East European countries, the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions dropped by approximately 60% in the late 1980s/early 1990s.

This drop in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and resulting ambient concentrations has affected the formation of  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ . Measurements show that in the Netherlands in the early 1980s the  $NH_3/NH_4^+$ conversion rate was 28.8% per hour, while at present it is about 5% per hour (Van Jaarsveld, 2004). Trends in observations and EMEP model results for wet deposited N are in compliance with trends in emissions (Fagerli and Aas, 2008). For air concentrations less information is available, since most of EMEP sites did not start measuring TIA (Total Inorganic  $NH_x$  = gas phase  $NH_3$  + aerosol phase  $NH_4^+$ ) and TIN (Total Inorganic  $NO_3^-$  =  $HNO_3$  + aerosol phase  $NO_3^-$ ) until the end of the 1980s and only a few



Figure 9.13 HCl concentrations 2006 (left) and 2007 (right) based on 30 sites with monthly monitoring. Source: Pollutant deposition (CEH, 2010).

sites (~20) have reported continuously. Moreover, the gas and particulate phases have very different chemical (e.g. their role in the  $NH_4^+$ – $NH_3$ – $HNO_3$ – $NO_3$ – $SO_4^{2-}$  equilibriums) and physical properties (e.g. the aerosols have a much longer residence time and are transported over longer distances), and the trend in the gas and particulate phase may thus be different.

In some Eastern European countries  $NH_3$  emissions have declined by 30%–60%, but  $NH_x$  concentrations decreased only by 20%–30% (EMEP, 2010). In Germany, however,  $NH_x$  concentrations declined by 20%–30%, whilst emission reductions are reported to have been 10%–20%. The explanation is a combination of a less efficient formation of  $NH_4^+$  aerosol (due to decreasing  $SO_x$ ) and less efficient dry deposition of  $NH_3$  due to less acidic surfaces; both effects leading to a shift towards gaseous  $NH_3$  relative to particulate  $NH_4^+$ .

## 9.3.3 $NO_v$ chemistry in the troposphere

The emission of  $NO_x$  takes place mainly in the form of nitrogen monoxide (NO) and to a lesser extent (usually 5%–20%) as nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). The fraction of directly emitted NO<sub>2</sub> from road traffic in western countries has increased in recent years as a result of the use of catalytic converters. However, in the tropospheric boundary layer the distribution between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> is governed to a large degree by O<sub>3</sub> that reacts very fast with NO to form NO<sub>2</sub>. In sunlight NO<sub>2</sub> photo dissociates (wavelengths 200–420 nm) to form NO and the very shortlived oxygen (O(<sup>3</sup>P)) radical. The latter will in most cases again form O<sub>3</sub> in a reaction with free oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>).

$$\mathrm{NO} + \mathrm{O}_3 \to \mathrm{NO}_2 + \mathrm{O}_2 \tag{9.10}$$

$$NO_2 + hv \rightarrow NO + O(^{3}P)$$
(9.11)

$$O(^{3}P) + O_{2} + M \rightarrow O_{3} + M$$

$$(9.12)$$

In the above reactions M is a third body (either an  $N_2$  or  $O_2$ molecule) that absorbs excess vibrational energy and thereby stabilizes the formed O<sub>3</sub> molecule. These reactions all have time scales of seconds to minutes. The reaction rate of reaction (9.9) is temperature dependent, but has a typical value about  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  ppbv<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Under typical atmospheric boundary layer conditions, reaction (9.9) will either lead to the complete conversion of all the O<sub>3</sub> to NO<sub>2</sub>, or to the conversion of all NO to NO<sub>2</sub> (Clapp and Jenkin, 2001). In highly polluted atmosphere (e.g. an urban area) or close to pollution sources, the former behaviour is usually observed because although O<sub>3</sub> is widely distributed in the lower atmosphere, its concentration is not usually high compared with NO in the highly polluted atmosphere, and hence O<sub>3</sub> concentrations become rapidly depleted. During daylight, the main fate of NO<sub>2</sub> is to undergo photolysis (9.10), reforming O<sub>3</sub> (9.11) and NO (Dickerson et al., 1982). This reaction has a typical rate coefficient under summer conditions in the mid afternoon at mid altitudes of about  $7 \times 10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Reaction (9.12) is the only production path for O<sub>3</sub> in the atmosphere.

(

Figure 9.15 illustrates the NO–NO<sub>2</sub>–O<sub>3</sub> chemistry in urban streets using a highly simplified module (Palmgren *et al.*, 1996) in the Operational Street Pollution Model (OSPM) (Berkowicz, 2000). This module includes the reactions (9.10) and (9.11) and an assumption of reaction (9.12) being instantaneous. In addition the model includes a ventilation rate between the street canyon and the surrounding air, and a distribution of the direct emission of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> from street traffic (Palmgren *et al.*, 1996).



Figure 9.14 EMEP emission changes for different European countries for two periods: 1980–1998 and 1980–2003. Source: EMEP (2010).



**Figure 9.15** The chemistry of NO<sub>x</sub> in urban streets. (Top) the observed relationship between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. For NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations below about 20 ppb, all NO<sub>x</sub> is in the form of NO<sub>2</sub>, since the air contains sufficient O<sub>3</sub> for converting all NO to NO<sub>2</sub>. For higher NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations, only the direct emission of NO<sub>2</sub> contributes to further increase in NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. (Bottom) Comparison between observed and calculated hourly mean concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>. All data are from Jagtvej in 2003, and calculations performed with OSPM. Only working days during daytime (800–1600) are included. Correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) = 0.7 (Hertel and Brandt, 2009).

The OH radical initiates the oxidation of a wide range of compounds in the atmospheric boundary layer. OH interacts with peroxy radicals that are responsible for the formation of excess concentrations of photo oxidants like  $O_3$ . In the background troposphere, carbon monoxide (CO) plays a role in this system:

$$CO + OH \rightarrow CO_2 + H$$
 (9.13)

$$H + O_2 + M \rightarrow HO_2 + M. \tag{9.14}$$

This conversion is so rapid that for all practical purposes reaction (9.13) may actually be written as:

$$CO + OH + M \xrightarrow{O_2} CO_2 + HO_2 + M.$$
 (9.15)

Whenever NO is present, the most important atmospheric reaction of the hydroperoxy radical  $(HO_2)$  is the conversion of NO to NO<sub>2</sub>:

$$NO + HO_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + OH.$$
 (9.16)

The hydroperoxy radical is one of many peroxy radicals that take part in the conversion of NO to  $NO_2$ . Organic peroxy radicals ( $RO_2$ ) play likewise an important role and are mainly formed by the attack of the OH radical on the organic compounds ubiquitously present in the polluted atmosphere. These reactions follow a similar path as the CO oxidation, and may in a simplified form be presented as:

$$OH + RXH \rightarrow R + H_2O$$
 (9.17)

$$R + O_2 + M \rightarrow RO_2 + M. \tag{9.18}$$

RXH represents the organic compound, whereas R is an organic radical such as the alkyl radical and  $RO_2$  an alkyl peroxy radical. The only important atmospheric pathway of the alkyl radical is reaction (9.18) with  $O_2$  to form alkyl peroxy radicals (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1986). The  $RO_2$  radical may subsequently covert NO to  $NO_2$  in the same way as the  $HO_2$  radical (reaction (9.16)).

During combustion processes at high temperatures, e.g. inside the motor of a petrol or diesel-driven vehicle, NO is formed from ambient  $N_2$ . However, in the very NO rich air inside the exhaust pipe of vehicles and inside emitting chimneys, another oxidation path than (9.11) takes place:

$$NO + NO + O_2 \rightarrow 2NO_2.$$
 (9.19)

This reaction is a third order reaction with a second order dependence of the NO concentration, and has a reaction rate coefficient of  $2.3 \times 10^{-38}$  cm<sup>6</sup> molecules<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Hampson and Gavin, 1978). The further transformation of NO<sub>2</sub> to HNO<sub>3</sub> takes place with a typical rate of about 5% per hour in the troposphere:

$$NO_2 + OH \rightarrow HNO_3.$$
 (9.20)

The hydroxyl radical (OH) is formed in the daytime in the presence of sunlight (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1986). The photo dissociation of  $O_3$  leads to the formation of both O(<sup>3</sup>P) and O(<sup>1</sup>D) radicals, a fraction of the latter reacts with water vapour to form two OH radicals:

$$O_3 + hv \rightarrow O_2 + O({}^{3}P) \tag{9.21}$$

$$O_3 + h\nu \rightarrow O_2 + O(^1D) \tag{9.22}$$

$$O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2OH.$$
(9.23)

Reaction (9.17) takes place in competition with  $O(^{1}D)$ 's reaction with third body  $O_{2}$  or  $N_{2}$  molecules to form  $O(^{3}P)$ , that in turn reform  $O_{3}$  in reaction (9.12). The OH radicals initiate

most of the degradation of hydrocarbons in the atmosphere, a chain of reactions that e.g. lead to the formation of high  $O_3$  concentrations during summer.

During night-time the nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) radical has a less important but still somewhat similar role for the degradation of hydrocarbons in the atmosphere as the OH radical in daytime. Despite the considerably lower reactivity compared with OH, its higher peak concentrations in the night-time troposphere allow the NO<sub>3</sub> radical to play a major role in transformation of organic compounds. The NO<sub>3</sub> radical is formed during nighttime in reaction with NO<sub>2</sub>. The dinitrogen pentoxide (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) is a reservoir compound for the NO<sub>3</sub> radical at low temperatures, but it is broken down to its precursors NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> at higher temperatures:

$$NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2 \tag{9.24}$$

$$NO_3 + NO_2 + M \rightarrow N_2O_5 + M \tag{9.25}$$

$$N_2O_5 + M \rightarrow NO_2 + NO_3 + M.$$
(9.26)

The typical night-time NO<sub>3</sub> radical concentrations in the atmospheric boundary layer are in the order  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  molecules m<sup>-3</sup> (which is the pptv range). During daytime both NO<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> photo dissociate so fast that the concentrations of these compounds are insignificant. In the tropospheric boundary layer the photolysis of NO<sub>3</sub> radical (with a typical noon lifetime of about 5 s) follow two different paths ( $\lambda$  represents here the wavelength):

$$NO_3 + hv(v < 700nm) \rightarrow NO + O_2 \tag{9.27}$$

$$NO_3 + hv(v < 580nm) \rightarrow O_2 + O(^{3}P).$$
 (9.28)

Close to pollution sources from combustion processes, e.g. road traffic or power plants, the NO<sub>3</sub> radical is quickly removed by reaction with NO:

$$NO_3 + NO \rightarrow 2NO_2. \tag{9.29}$$

During night-time the heterogeneous conversion of  $N_2O_5$  to  $HNO_3$  is an important process:

$$N_2O_5 + H_2O \rightarrow 2HNO_3. \tag{9.30}$$

The lifetime of  $N_2O_5$  with respect to this removal is on the order of minutes in the tropospheric boundary layer. This production of HNO<sub>3</sub> may in winter be equally important as day-time conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> by OH radical. As already described, particulate nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) is formed when HNO<sub>3</sub> reacts with NH<sub>3</sub> and form new aerosol particles, and when it sticks to existing particles in the atmosphere. In addition organic NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> may be formed from gaseous NO<sub>2</sub> on the surfaces of aerosols in other heterogeneous reactions (see Section 9.3.4).

The NO<sub>3</sub> radical attacks alkanes by hydrogen abstraction in a similar way as the reactions of the OH radical:

$$RH + NO_3 \rightarrow R + HNO_3. \tag{9.31}$$

Followed by formation of a peroxy radical ( $RO_2$ ) that may oxidize an NO molecule to  $NO_2$ . Also for alkenes, the attack of the  $NO_3$  radical is similar to the reactions of the OH radical; the  $NO_3$  radical adds to the double bond. This reaction is followed by rapid  $O_2$  addition which leads to the production of a peroxy radical.

Reaction of soil emissions of NO with atmospheric OH has been suggested to provide an in-canopy source of HNO<sub>3</sub> (Farmer *et al.*, 2006). HNO<sub>3</sub> concentrations usually peak during the day, regulated by the emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, photochemical activity and the gas/aerosol equilibrium of  $NH_4NO_3$  shifting towards the gas phase with increasing temperature and decreasing relative humidity.

A reaction similar to reaction (9.22), but less important, is the reaction between NO and OH radical forming nitrous acid (HONO):

$$NO + OH + M \rightarrow HONO + M.$$
 (9.32)

During daytime HONO photo dissociate ( $\lambda$  < 400 nm) rapidly back to the reactants:

$$HONO+hv \to NO+OH. \tag{9.33}$$

Therefore, HONO formed in the late evening may serve as a night-time reservoir of OH and NO, which are subsequently liberated again the following morning, when sunlight starts up reaction (9.23) again. Studies in the highly polluted Po Valley in Northern Italy have shown an interrelation between simultaneous peaks in NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations and aerosol surfaces and peak HONO concentrations during foggy periods (Notholt *et al.*, 1992). This was taken as evidence for heterogeneous conversion on aerosol surfaces through either of the reactions:

$$NO + NO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow 2HONO$$
 (9.34)

$$2NO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HNO_3 + HONO.$$
 (9.35)

Probably this type of conversion plays an important role also in many urban areas over Europe, but so far only few studies have been carried out.

### 9.3.4 Organic N compounds in the atmosphere

The presence of atmospheric organic N compounds has been evident for years (Cornell *et al.*, 2003; Neff *et al.*, 2002), but direct measurements of individual species are rather sparse. The evidence of organic N comes from analysis of precipitation samples for total N and comparison with inorganic N content, to give 'dissolved organic N' (DON) by difference. This approach has been prone to several analytical artefacts (Cape *et al.*, 2001), but DON may in fact contribute up to half of total water-soluble N in precipitation. The fraction depends highly on location, and on whether air masses are of marine or terrestrial origin. DON has been ignored in estimating environmental consequences of N deposition, although there is reason to believe that many, if not all, components of DON are biologically available (Krab *et al.*, 2008; Lipson and Nasholm, 2001; Paerl and Whitall, 1999; Qualls and Haines, 1992). There appears to be a DON contribution from marine air masses (Cornell et al., 1995, 2001), and DON proportions are consistently high in unpolluted air, especially in the tropics. For continental/terrestrial samples, annual average concentrations of DON in precipitation appear to correlate better spatially with  $NH_{4^{+}}$  than with  $NO_{3^{-}}$ , suggesting an agricultural source, but the seasonal variation is not correlated with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations, implying that different sources are involved (Cape et al., 2004). There is limited evidence available from sampling of air directly using a nebulizing mist sampler that both gas phase and particle phase components contribute to water-soluble organic N (WSON) in the atmosphere, which leads to the occurrence of DON in precipitation. Organic N is measured in fog (Zhang and Anastasio, 2001) and cloud water (Hill et al., 2007), but there is some concern that most analyses for DON are made on bulk rainfall samples (i.e. collected using an open funnel) and that a significant fraction of the measured DON might have been dry-deposited on the funnel surface. This presents problems of interpretation, but does not remove the problem of identifying the source, composition and fate of organic N compounds (see also Figure 9.16).

### Evidence for reduced organic N in the atmosphere

Some measurements of individual components of reduced organic N in gas, particulate and aqueous phases have been reported and indicate potential sources and fates of these compounds (Table 9.3), but in most cases rather small concentrations are measured and these cannot account for the rather high proportions of DON in precipitation.

#### Formation of organic oxidized N compounds

When aldehydes are photo dissociated or react with OH, an alkyl radical is formed, which in turn may form peroxy alkyl

nitrates that serve as important reservoirs of NO<sub>2</sub>. The most abundant of these nitrates is the peroxy acetyl nitrate (PAN):

$$CH_3CHO + h\nu \rightarrow CH_3C(O)$$
 (9.36)

$$CH_{3}CHO + OH \rightarrow CH_{3}C(O) + H_{2}O \qquad (9.37)$$

$$CH_3C(O) + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)OO$$
 (9.38)

$$CH_3C(O)OO + NO_2 + M \rightarrow CH_3C(O)OONO_2 + M$$
 (9.39)

Reaction (9.38) is very fast, and reactions (9.38) and (9.39) may for many practical purposes be regarded as taking place in one step. PAN is thermally unstable and equilibrium between peroxy acetyl radical and NO<sub>2</sub> on one side and PAN on the other side is established in the boundary layer. High PAN and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations are often observed together during photo chemical smog episodes. The thermal degradation gives PAN a lifetime of ~ 1.7 h at 273 K and 50 h at 263 K. The PAN formation is competing with NO degradation of peroxy acetyl radical:

$$CH_3C(O)OO + NO \rightarrow CO_2 + NO_2 + CH_3O_2$$
 (9.40)

This reaction is totally dominating at ppbv levels of NO meaning that PAN and other peroxy alkyl nitrates are formed only in the background atmosphere, and i.e. not inside urban areas. However, substantial PAN concentrations may still be observed in urban areas, especially at relatively low temperatures. The peroxy alkyl nitrates include compounds produced in a similar way as PAN, but generated from biogenic isoprene emissions that may be of importance in southern Europe, and have similar thermal degradation pathways as PAN.



**Figure 9.16** Illustration of the interaction between the various nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>y</sub>) compounds in the tropospheric boundary layer. The symbol  $\Delta$  represents energy leading to thermal degradation, *h* v solar radiation leading to photo dissociation and RH a hydrocarbon reacting with the specie in question. PPN is a notation for peroxy propionyl nitrate, but also other peroxy nitrates than PPN and PAN play a role in this context (Derwent and Hertel, 1998). Table 9.3 Reported data on reduced organic N compounds in the atmosphere

Sources	Atmospheric reactions and fate	Other direct measurements
Direct emissions from some industrial processes and from agricultural activity such as slurry spreading (Kallinger and Niessner, 1999). Some data exist for the latter process relative to ammonia emissions, which may be helpful in modelling emissions, but release rates are $c$ . 1% of NH <sub>3</sub> . Ocean water may be source or sink (Hatton and Gibb, 1999).	Water soluble (Calderon <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Gibb <i>et al.</i> , 1999). Likely acid–base reactions and form particles (cf. NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) (Angelino <i>et al.</i> , 2001; Blando and Turpin, 2000). Photo degradation possible (McGregor and Anastasio, 2001). O <sub>3</sub> reaction (Tuazon <i>et al.</i> , 1994). Likely high dry deposition rates (low surface resistance – 'sticky' molecules).	PTR-MS data on trimethylamine from slurry (Twigg, 2006). Published data (Beddows <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Gorzelska <i>et al.</i> , 1992; Gorzelska <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Gronberg <i>et al.</i> , 1992; Gundel <i>et al.</i> , 1993; Palmiotto <i>et al.</i> , 2001; Schade and Crutzen, 1995) (Cornell <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Mace <i>et al.</i> , 2003b; Mace <i>et al.</i> , 2003c; Mace <i>et al.</i> , 2003a; Mace and Duce, 2002).
Ocean surface (Milne and Zika, 1993), grassland (soil) (Scheller, 2001).	Photodestruction (Anastasio and McGregor, 2000; McGregor and Anastasio, 2001; Milne and Zika, 1993; Saxena and Hildemann, 1996).	Measurement data (Gorzelska et al., 1992; Kieber et al., 2005; Mace et al., 2003b; Mace et al., 2003c; Mace et al., 2003a; Matsumoto and Uematsu, 2005; Scheller, 2001; Zhang and Anastasio, 2001; Zhang and Anastasio, 2003).
Biogenic emissions (terrestrial) (Shim <i>et al.</i> , 2007) and biomass burning (Bertschi <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Cicerone and Zellner, 1983; Holzinger <i>et al.</i> , 1999; Li and Tan, 2000); anthropogenic (Holzinger <i>et al.</i> , 2001).	(Bange and Williams, 2000; Cicerone and Zellner, 1983; Karl <i>et al.</i> , 2004). Other direct measurements: (Sprung <i>et al.</i> , 2001; Warneke <i>et al.</i> , 2001).	
	SourcesDirect emissions from some industrial processes and from agricultural activity such as slurry spreading (Kallinger and Niessner, 1999). Some data exist for the latter process relative to ammonia emissions, which may be helpful in modelling emissions, but release rates are c. 1% of NH <sub>3</sub> . Ocean water may be source or sink (Hatton and Gibb, 1999).Ocean surface (Milne and Zika, 1993), grassland (soil) (Scheller, 2001).Biogenic emissions (terrestrial) (Shim et al., 2007) and biomass burning (Bertschi et al., 2003; Cicerone and Zellner, 1983; Holzinger et al., 1999; Li and Tan, 2000); anthropogenic (Holzinger et al., 2001).	SourcesAtmospheric reactions and fateDirect emissions from some industrial processes and from agricultural activity such as slurry spreading (Kallinger and Niessner, 1999). Some data exist for the latter process relative to ammonia emissions, which may be helpful in modelling emissions, but release rates are c. 1% of NH3. Ocean water may be source or sink (Hatton and Gibb, 1999).Water soluble (Calderon et al., 2001; Gibb et al., 1999). Likely acid-base reactions and form particles (cf. NH4*) (Angelino et al., 2001; Blando and Turpin, 2000). Photo degradation possible (McGregor and Anastasio, 2001). O3 reaction (Tuazon et al., 1994). Likely high dry deposition rates (low surface resistance – 'sticky' molecules).Ocean surface (Milne and Zika, 1993), grassland (soil) (Scheller, 2001).Photodestruction (Anastasio and McGregor, 2000; McGregor and Anastasio, 2001; Milne and Zika, 1993; Saxena and Hildemann, 1996).Biogenic emissions (terrestrial) (Shim et al., 2007) and biomass burning (Bertschi et al., 2003; Cicerone and Zellner, 1983; Holzinger et al., 1999; Li and Tan, 2000); anthropogenic (Holzinger et al., 2001).

## 9.4 Dry deposition and bi-directional fluxes of N compounds

Nr compounds are being monitored in many regional networks across the world, such as the European EMEP programme (EMEP, 2010), the NitroEurope Integrated Project (NEU, 2010) the US National Atmospheric Deposition Network (NADP, 2010), the Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET, 2010) and several others. However, these networks measure air concentrations rather than fluxes, and deposition is estimated using inferential modelling approaches, which are underpinned by often sparse databases of campaign based process studies with limited geographical coverage. This is partly due to the fact that instrumentation to measure fluxes of sticky compounds such as NH<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> or HONO are expensive and labour intensive to operate. The measurement of each individual N<sub>r</sub> compound is technically more challenging than that of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, for example. Robust low cost flux measurement approaches are lacking, although recent developments of a Conditional Time-Averaged Gradient (COTAG) method (Famulari et al., 2010) show promise for wide-scale deployment over long periods for short vegetation. A first regional flux measurement network for Nr compounds is established within the European NitroEurope IP. This network takes a three-tier approach, where selected N<sub>r</sub> compounds are measured at a network of 13 supersites, using advanced micrometeorological flux measurement techniques. At a further nine regional sites the novel COTAG systems are being deployed, while deposition is derived at a further 50+ sites from concentration measurements, using inferential techniques (Tang *et al.*, 2009).

Spatial coverage of  $N_r$  deposition can only be achieved through numerical modelling. The gaseous  $N_r$  compounds most commonly considered for dry deposition are  $NH_3$ ,  $HNO_3$  and  $NO_2$ . Their relative contributions to N deposition depend on the pollution climate. In agricultural areas  $NH_3$ may dominate the atmospheric N loading, while in more industrial and urban areas  $HNO_3$  and  $NO_2$  may be more important. In addition,  $NH_3$  deposition depends on the N status of the receiving surface, with fertilized vegetation and vegetation receiving high atmospheric N deposition inputs acting as a less efficient sink or even net source of  $NH_3$ . In dry regions, stomatal deposition may make a larger relative contribution to net exchange than in wet regions, where leaf cuticles provide a very efficient sink for water soluble gases ( $NH_3$  and  $HNO_3$ ).

In the UK, dry deposition of  $NH_3$ ,  $HNO_3$  and  $NO_2$  is estimated to have contributed 48 (14.5%), 57 (17.3%) and 9 (0.03%) Gg, respectively, to the total N deposition of 330 Gg N in 2004, with the rest originating from wet and cloud deposition (211 Gg, 63.9%) and aerosol deposition (16 Gg N, 0.05%) (Fowler

*et al.*, 2009). The UK deposition model uses detailed knowledge of land use to estimate the vegetation-dependent deposition velocities and fluxes as a function of land use in each  $5 \text{ km} \times 5 \text{ km}$  grid square of the country, combined with longterm measurements of air concentrations which are unique in Europe in terms of spatial coverage.

## 9.4.1 The dry deposition process

Dry deposition is the direct deposition of gases or aerosols at terrestrial or marine surfaces. The dry deposition of gases and particles is a continuous process and governed by their air concentrations, turbulent transport processes in the boundary layer, the chemical and physical nature of the depositing species, and the capability of the surface to capture or absorb the species.

In relation to deposition transport, the boundary layer may be considered to consist of two layers: the fully turbulent layer and the quasi-laminar layer. The quasi-laminar layer is introduced to quantify the way in which pollutant transfer differs from momentum transfer in the immediate vicinity of the surface (Hicks *et al.*, 1987). In this layer, the transport is dominated by molecular diffusion. Once at the surface, the chemical, biological and physical nature of the surface determines the capture or absorption of the gases and particles. Deposition to water surfaces (oceans or fresh waters) may thus be very different from deposition to vegetated surfaces on land.

The deposition process may be considered as a series of resistances, by analogy with an electrical circuit (Monteith and Unsworth, 2008). The resistances refer to the transport processes through the various 'layers' defined above: turbulent transfer (usually denoted  $R_a$ ), quasi-laminar ( $R_b$ ) and surface  $(R_c)$ . For a complex surface with several potential absorption sinks (e.g. vegetation) the resistance  $R_c$  may be viewed as a network of parallel resistances, representing transfer to the external leaf surface, through stomata, to water on the surface, or through the canopy to the underlying soil surface. The total resistance  $(R_T)$  is the sum of all the series and parallel resistances  $(R_a + R_b + R_c)$ , and is usually expressed in units of s m<sup>-1</sup>. The inverse of the total resistance  $(1/R_T)$  is known as the deposition velocity  $(v_d)$  and has units of m s<sup>-1</sup>. The turbulent transfer resistance  $(R_a)$  depends upon the height at which the deposition flux is measured, so the total resistance  $(R_T)$  and deposition velocity  $(v_d)$  also vary with height above the surface. The transfer flux (F) is defined as the product of the air concentration of a gas or particles at height z, multiplied by the deposition velocity at height z, and (in the absence of competing chemical reactions (Sorensen et al., 2005)) does not vary with height, provided that the air concentration is horizontally uniform. This formulation assumes that the surface concentration of the gas is zero – where this is not the case (see below) the effect can be described either as a decreased driving force for deposition (concentration difference between height *z* and the surface) or as an increased surface resistance.

The deposition velocity  $(v_d)$  is often reported as a constant even though it depends on a set of variables, e.g. wind

speed, surface roughness and atmospheric stratification. Joffre (1988) has suggested a parameterization which depends on the meteorological conditions, roughness length and the molecular diffusion coefficient for the compound of interest. The various components of the total transport resistance can be estimated from meteorological data if several assumptions are made concerning spatial and temporal homogeneity. The atmospheric turbulent resistance ( $R_a$ ) can be calculated from:

$$R_a(z) = \frac{1}{\kappa u_*} \left[ \ln \frac{z}{z_0} - \psi\left(\frac{z}{L}, \frac{z_0}{L}\right) \right], \tag{9.41}$$

where z is the reference height,  $z_0$  is the roughness length,  $u_*$  is the friction velocity,  $\kappa$  is the von Karman constant ( $\approx 0.4$ ) and L is the Monin–Obukhov length. For the diabatic surface layers (Businger, 1982) a stability function  $\phi$  is introduced (Businger *et al.*, 1971). For neutral conditions  $\phi = 1$  and  $\phi$  is greater/less than unity for stable/unstable stratifications. In the above equation,  $\psi$  is the integrated stability function.

The resistance of the underlying thin molecular laminar sub-layer is given by (Kramm, 1989; Kramm *et al.*, 1991; Kramm and Dlugi, 1994):

$$R_b = \int_{z_s}^z D_i + K = \frac{u}{u} \left( \frac{u_z}{u} + B_i \right)$$
(9.42)

where  $u_{z_0}$  is a characteristic velocity for the layer  $z_s < z < z_0$ ,  $B_i$  is the sub-layer Stanton number, which is a function of the roughness Reynolds number  $R_e^* = u_* z/v$  and the Schmidt number,  $Sc_i = v/Di$ . The Stanton number can be estimated as (Kramm and Dlugi, 1994):

$$B_i^{-1} = aSc_i^b \operatorname{Re}_*^c + \varepsilon, \qquad (9.43)$$

where the following values are suggested for smooth surfaces a = 13.6, b = 2/3, c = 0 and  $\varepsilon = -15.5$  and for rough surfaces, a = 7.3, b = 0.5, c = 0.25 and  $\varepsilon = -5$ .

The surface resistance term depends on the physical and chemical nature of the absorbing surface, and parameterizations should be adapted to the surface concerned. The value of  $v_d$  is often expressed as annual or seasonal averages, for the purpose of calculating deposition fluxes as the product of air concentrations and deposition velocities. Deposition velocities and concentrations should refer to the same height – usually the height at which the concentrations are measured. Tall vegetation causes increased atmospheric turbulence, so  $R_a$  values are smaller, and deposition velocities are larger, than for short vegetation. Consequently, estimating deposition of different components to the countryside requires knowledge about land use as well as the spatial pattern of air concentrations.

The air-sea gas exchange of the very soluble gases  $HNO_3$ and  $NH_3$  is rate limited by the vertical transport in the boundary layer, because the uptake at the water surface is very fast relative to other commonly studied gases. Of the two very soluble N-gases,  $HNO_3$  exchange rates are larger than  $NH_3$  due to the higher solubility. The less soluble  $NO_2$  and NO gases, deposit much slower to the marine surface. The surface resistance is the most important resistance for slightly soluble gases and relates to the transfer velocity  $K_c$ , which is also used for air-sea exchange of other gases like  $CO_2$ , DMS and  $CH_4$ . The surface resistance is a key parameter for the deposition of a gas to a water surface, and may be expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{R_c} = K_c = \frac{F_c}{\Delta c_w}.$$
(9.44)

Here  $F_c$  is the flux across the surface and  $\Delta c_w$  is the concentration gradient across the laminar sub-layer in the water. The resistance across the water surface is controlled by the Henry's law coefficient (*H*), which describes the solubility of different gases, and is a strong function of temperature. The effective overall surface resistance is therefore:

$$R_{c,eff} = R_c / H^*, \tag{9.45}$$

where  $H^*$  is the dimensionless Henry's law coefficient (Table 9.4).

The process of dry deposition of particles differs from that of gases in two respects.

- Deposition depends on particle size, since transfer to the surface involves Brownian diffusion, inertial impaction/ interception and sedimentation (all of which are a strong function of particle size) (Slinn, 1982).
- It is assumed that the surface resistance for particles less than 10 µm diameter (Hicks and Garland, 1983) is negligibly small to all surfaces.

For submicron particles, the transport through the boundary layer is more or less the same as for gases. However, transport of particles through the quasi-laminar layer can differ. For particles with a diameter <0.1  $\mu$ m, deposition is controlled by diffusion, whereas deposition of particles with a diameter >10  $\mu$ m is more controlled by sedimentation. Deposition of particles with a diameter between 0.1  $\mu$ m and 1  $\mu$ m is determined by the rates of impaction and interception and depends heavily on the turbulence intensity. Transfer through the quasi-laminar layer close to the surface presents a considerable restriction on the deposition of 0.1–1.0  $\mu$ m diameter particles. Uptake of particles by surfaces is thus largely controlled by micro-structures and turbulence intensity.

Most of the theory and measurements of particle fluxes have focused on sulphate particles (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), which mostly occur in the submicron size range as (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Other submicron aerosol particles are expected to behave similarly, although semi-volatile particles may form or evaporate depending on the local equilibrium with the constituent gases (e.g. NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>/HNO<sub>3</sub>). The most widely used model is an empirical parameterization (Wesely *et al.*, 1985), which is based upon flux measurements of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> over grass. In this model,  $v_d$  is represented as a function of the friction velocity  $u^*$  and the Monin–Obukhov length *L*. Then for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> particles and low vegetation,  $v_d$  can be calculated by using (Erisman and Draaijers, 1995):

$$v_{d} = \frac{u_{\star}}{500} \cdot \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{300}{-L} \right)^{2/3} \right] \qquad L < 0$$

$$v_{d} = \frac{u_{\star}}{500} \qquad L > 0 \qquad (9.46)$$

Ruijgrok proposed another parameterization derived from measurements over coniferous forest (Ruijgrok *et al.*, 1997). In this approach, which is simplified from the Slinn model (Slinn, 1982),  $v_d$  is not only a function of  $u_*$ , but also of relative humidity (*RH*) and surface wetness. Inclusion of *RH* allows for particle growth under humid conditions and for reduced particle bounce when the canopy is wet. Dry deposition velocity is expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{v_d} = R_a + \frac{1}{v_{ds}},$$
(9.47)

where  $R_a$  is the aerodynamic resistance, which is the same as for gaseous species, and  $v_{ds}$  is the surface deposition velocity.

For tall canopies  $v_{ds}$  is parameterized by (Ruijgrok *et al.*, 1997) as

$$v_{ds} = E \cdot \frac{u_{\star}^2}{u_h},\tag{9.48}$$

where  $u_h$  is the wind speed at the top of the canopy, which is obtained by extrapolating the logarithmic wind profile from  $Z_R$ to the canopy height *h*. Now  $u_h$  can be expressed as:

$$u_{h} = \frac{u_{h}}{k} \left( \ln \left( \frac{10 \cdot z_{0} - d}{\frac{h}{z_{0}}} \right) - \psi_{h} \left( \frac{10 \cdot z_{0} - d}{L} \right) + \psi_{h} \left( \frac{z_{0}}{L} \right) \right). \quad (9.49)$$

Note that *E* is the total efficiency for canopy capture of particles, parameterized for dry and wet surface separately (Erisman *et al.*, 1997). For dry surfaces, for  $SO_4^{2-}$  particles (Brook *et al.*, 1999):

$$E = \begin{cases} 0.005 \, u_{\star}^{0.28} \\ 0.005 \, u_{\star}^{0.28} & \cdot \left[ 1 + 0.18 \cdot \exp \frac{RH - 80}{20} \right] \\ RH \le 80\% \qquad RH > 80\%. \end{cases}$$
(9.50)

For wet surfaces, for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> particles (Brook *et al.*, 1999):

$$E = \begin{cases} 0.08 \ u_{\star}^{0.45} \\ 0.08 \ u_{\star}^{0.45} \cdot \left[ 1 + 0.37 \cdot \exp \frac{RH - 80}{20} \right] \\ RH \le 80\% \qquad RH > 80\%, \end{cases}$$
(9.51)

where *RH* is taken at the reference height.

Erisman and Draaijers used the following general form for the calculation of  $v_d$  (Erisman and Draaijers, 1995):

$$v_{d} = \frac{1}{R_{a} + \frac{1}{v_{ds}}} + v_{s},$$
(9.52)

where  $v_s$  is the deposition velocity due to sedimentation, to represent deposition of large particles, and  $v_{ds}$  can be estimated from (9.48). Relations for *E* for different components and conditions may be derived from model calculations and multiple regression analysis (Erisman and Draaijers, 1995).

For larger supermicron particles (Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>), and therefore for some NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> particles, and for low vegetation (for all particles), the sedimentation velocity has to be added:

$$v_{s} = 0.0067 \ m \cdot s^{-1} \qquad RH \le 80$$
  
$$v_{s} = 0.0067 \cdot e^{\frac{0.0066 \cdot RH}{1.058 - RH}} \ m \cdot s^{-1} \qquad RH > 80\%. \qquad (9.53)$$

For sulphate deposition velocity, observations suggest that there is a distinct upper limit which depends on land use type. As a result, it is required that

$$v_{ds} \le v_m, \tag{9.54}$$

where  $v_m$  is the observed maximum deposition velocity (Walcek *et al.*, 1986).

## 9.4.2 Bi-directional fluxes of N-containing gases

Plant fixation of  $N_2$  provides the single largest atmospheric N input to the biosphere worldwide. However, since it is not associated with acidifying effects, controlled by the plants themselves and its rate is not altered through human activity (other than through land-use change), it is not usually considered in atmospheric N deposition budgets. Direct measurement approaches of  $N_2$  fixation are lacking as the flux to the biosphere is very small compared with ambient  $N_2$  concentrations. Instead  $N_2$  fixation is measured in laboratory, e.g. with isotope techniques.

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), an important greenhouse gas with a lifetime of 114 years, is usually assumed to be emitted by terrestrial surfaces (see Jarvis *et al.*, 2011, Chapter 10, this volume). Although reports of transient N<sub>2</sub>O deposition fluxes in the literature are increasing in number, see, for example, Flechard *et al.* (2007), the magnitude of N<sub>2</sub>O uptake is small and negligible compared with the main contributors to atmospheric N deposition.

For the other N containing gases there are several parallel pathways of pollutant exchange with vegetation, which include adsorption to the leaf cuticles, exchange through the stomata with the sub-stomatal cavity and exchange with the soil. All these processes are potentially bi-directional, depending on the relative magnitude of the air concentration and the gaseous concentrations in chemical equilibrium with the leaf surface, the apoplastic fluid and the soil solution, respectively. The likelihood for uptake increases with the water solubility 
 Table 9.4
 Summary of Henry's law coefficients of various gaseous nitrogen compounds

Compound	Henry's law coefficient at 25 °C in water [mol kg <sup>-1</sup> bar <sup>-1</sup> ]
NH <sub>3</sub>	61
HNO <sub>3</sub>	$2.6 \times 10^{6}$
HONO	49
NO	0.0019
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.012
N <sub>2</sub> O	0.025
PAN	4.1

and Henry's law coefficient of the gas, which vary over several orders of magnitude (Table 9.4). A database of Henry's law coefficients is available (Mainz, 2010).

### **Nitric acid**

Because of its high deposition rate, HNO<sub>3</sub> makes a significant contribution to N<sub>r</sub> deposition in areas exposed to air containing emitted NO<sub>x</sub>. HNO<sub>3</sub> is highly water soluble and commonly assumed to deposit at the maximum rate permitted by turbulence, i.e. surface resistance is negligible. While this is probably a reasonable approximation for most situations, several authors have observed emission gradients or reduced uptake rates of HNO<sub>3</sub>, probably owing to non-zero HNO<sub>3</sub> surface concentrations in equilibrium with NH4NO3 aerosol deposited to leaf surfaces (Neftel et al., 1996; Nemitz et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 1995). In the case of trace gases with negligible surface resistance, the deposition velocity is very sensitive to the atmospheric resistances  $(R_a \text{ and } R_b)$ , which over aerodynamically rough surfaces are small (5–10 s m<sup>-1</sup>). In such conditions, even a very small surface resistance for HNO<sub>3</sub> would strongly influence deposition rates. Currently there are insufficient field data to show whether HNO<sub>3</sub> deposition is subject to a surface resistance, and this remains a research priority.

#### Ammonia

NH<sub>3</sub> dominates atmospheric N deposition to semi-natural vegetation in agricultural areas, especially in Northern Europe where NH<sub>3</sub> deposition is favoured at high humidity and cold temperatures, although, these conditions also favour conversion to ammonium aerosol. NH<sub>3</sub> is less water soluble than HNO<sub>3</sub>. Thus, NH<sub>3</sub> previously absorbed to wet leaf surfaces may more readily be desorbed (re-emitted) as leaf water layers dry out again (Flechard *et al.*, 1999). Another complication is that plants under certain conditions may release NH<sub>3</sub>.

Generally plants contain inorganic N in the form of  $NH_4^+$ and  $NO_3^-$ . These nutrients are mainly present in the liquid part (apoplast) between the cells of the plant.  $NH_4^+$  is an important by-product of plant biochemical pathways resulting in non-zero  $NH_4^+$  concentrations in the leaf apoplast, which results in non-zero gas-phase concentrations (stomatal compensation points,  $\chi_s$ ) in equilibrium with this  $NH_4^+$  apo concentration at the apoplastic pH, for example. Current evidence suggests that NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup><sub>apo</sub> increases with increasing N supply to the plant, either through fertilization or high atmospheric N inputs. The compensation point  $\chi_s$  is the product of a temperature function describing the Henry's Law equilibrium and the ratio of  $\Gamma_s = [NH_{4}^+_{apo}]/[H+_{apo}]$ . Values of  $\Gamma_s$  range from <100 for semi-natural vegetation in clean, remote environments over values around 1500 for semi-natural vegetation to >10 000 after fertilization. At 10 °C, this equates to values of  $\chi_s$  of < 0.15, 2.3 and > 15 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Emission potentials of fertilized soils can be even larger. This large range illustrates that the direction of NH<sub>3</sub> exchange is often difficult to estimate *a priori*.

Several papers have recently reviewed the literature on bidirectional NH<sub>3</sub> exchange and compiled extensive database on compensation points (Massad et al., 2010b; Zhang et al., 2010) in order to provide the necessary input for application in atmospheric transport models. The compensation points increase with N input as it is the main driver of apoplast and bulk leaf NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations (Massad *et al.*, 2010a), but the compensation point also vary between different plant species and with growth stage and season (Riedo et al., 2002). The decomposition of litter has been found to play a dominant role (Zhang et al., 2010). The stomatal pathway for NH<sub>3</sub> exchange is only available when stomata are open during daytime, and thus deposition to (often wet) leaf surfaces is the dominant pathway during the night, unless soil surfaces provide a major source and are well exposed to the atmosphere. Deposition fields of NH<sub>3</sub> are particularly uncertain, due to (i) uncertainties in the overall magnitude as well as spatial and temporal patterns of agricultural NH<sub>3</sub> emissions and (ii) the large variability of NH<sub>3</sub> deposition rates to different surfaces.

Specific dry deposition sub-models for the surface resistance that include the description of a canopy compensation point for NH<sub>3</sub> have been derived and implemented in connection with the analysis of different plant surfaces, e.g. for beans (Farquhar et al., 1980), oilseed rape plants (Husted et al., 2000), and heather (Calluna vulgaris) (Schjørring et al., 1998). It is common to apply a two-pathway process description (Fowler et al., 2009; Loubet et al., 2001): (a) a stomatal pathway, which is bi-directional and modelled using a stomatal compensation point, and (b) a plant surface pathway, which denotes exchange with water surfaces or waxes on the plant surface. The stomatal compensation point may be calculated from knowledge of the aqueous phase chemistry. The equilibrium NH<sub>3</sub> ambient air concentration for the stomatal compensation point has been expressed as (Sorteberg and Hov, 1996):

$$\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}(g)\right] = \chi_{cp} = 10^{(1.6035 - 4207.62/T)} \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]},$$
(9.55)

where  $\chi_{cp}$  is the compensation point concentration of NH<sub>3</sub>, and [NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>] and [H<sup>+</sup>] are the concentrations of ammonium and hydrogen ion in stomatal cavity, respectively.

The leaf surface may work as a capacitance for  $NH_3$  and  $SO_2$  uptake, and this capacitance increases with humidity (Van hove *et al.*, 1989). This transport is independent of solar radiation and contrary to the uptake through stomata, it also takes place during night. Sutton *et al.* (1998) defined the canopy compensation point as:

$$\chi'_{z0} = \chi(z-d) + F_g \left( R_a \left( z - d \right) + R_b \right), \tag{9.56}$$

where  $\chi'_{z0}$  is the canopy compensation point,  $\chi$  is the NH<sub>3</sub> ambient air concentration, *z* is the height above ground, *d* is the displacement height, and *F*<sub>g</sub> is the vertical flux.

The vertical flux  $F_g$  may be divided into a flux towards the leaf surface  $F_w$  and a flux through stomata  $F_s$ :

$$F_g = F_w + F_s. \tag{9.57}$$

And these fluxes may be written as:

$$F_w = -\frac{\chi'_{z0}}{R_w}$$
, and  $F_s = \frac{\chi_{cp} - \chi'_{z0}}{R_s}$ 

where  $R_w$  and  $R_s$  are leaf surface and stomatal resistances, and expressions for these may be found in the work by Sutton *et al.* (1993, 1998).

From the above two equations the total flux  $F_g$  may be expressed as:

$$F_{g} = \frac{\chi_{cp} - \chi'_{z0}}{R_{s}} - \frac{\chi'_{z0}}{R_{w}}.$$
(9.58)

Similarly the total flux may be derived from the expression of the canopy compensation point:

$$F_{g} = \frac{\chi'_{z0} - \chi(z)}{R_{a}(z) + R_{b}}.$$
(9.59)

Combining these two equations and eliminating the total flux  $F_g$  provides a general expression for the canopy compensation point:

$$\chi_{z0}' = \frac{\left(\frac{\chi(z)}{R_{a}(z) + R_{b}}\right) + \frac{\chi_{cp}}{R_{s}}}{\left(R_{a}(z) + R_{b}\right)^{-1} + R_{s}^{-1} + R_{w}^{-1}}.$$
(9.60)

Several generalized parameterizations of bi-directional NH<sub>3</sub> exchange have recently been developed for inclusion in regional CTMs (Gore *et al.*, 2009; Massad *et al.*, 2010b; Zhang *et al.*, 2010), but these have not yet been tested in the spatial modelling environments. In an earlier study, Sorteberg and Hov implemented a simpler parameterization of bi-directional fluxes of NH<sub>3</sub> into a Lagrangian long-range transport model, assuming pH to be a constant value of 6.8 (Sorteberg and Hov, 1996). Concerning the concentration of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>(aq), they assumed this to be 150 and 50 µmol l<sup>-1</sup> for crop and grassland, respectively. The model with these relatively crude assumptions was applied for the European area for the year 1993, and compared with basic scenario without bi-directional flux

parameterization. The results indicated a reduction of 0%-20% in total sulphur deposition and a 0%-25% increase in NH<sub>3</sub> deposition compared with a simple flux model. The emission through stomata was found to account approximately 0.1% of the total NH<sub>3</sub> emission.

Loubet *et al.* applied a 2D local scale model with the above parameterization of bi-directional fluxes of  $NH_3$  based on the canopy compensation point approach to a moorland area (Loubet *et al.*, 2001). With the FIDES (Flux Interpretation by Dispersion and Exchange over Short Range) model they simulated transport and dispersion to a moorland placed 260 m downwind from a pasture grazed with sheep.

Experimental studies have shown that over the sea the atmospheric fluxes of  $NH_3$  may also be upward or downward (Lee *et al.*, 1998; Quinn *et al.*, 1988; Sørensen *et al.*, 2003) depending on the meteorological conditions and the relationship between the pH and contents of  $NH_4^+$  in the upper surface waters on the one side, and the  $NH_3$  concentrations in ambient air just above the water surface on the other side. The bidirectional  $NH_3$  flux over sea is expressed as an exchange with the water surface:

$$F = V_e \left( C_{eq} - C_{air} \right), \tag{9.61}$$

where  $V_e$  is the exchange velocity between air and sea (that equals  $1/(R_a + R_b)$ ),  $C_{eq}$  is the NH<sub>3</sub> concentration in the air at equilibrium with the NH<sub>x</sub> in the water, and  $C_{air}$  is the actual ambient air concentration of NH<sub>3</sub>. *F* is the flux of NH<sub>3</sub>; the flux is positive when the sea emits NH<sub>3</sub> and negative when deposition takes place.

The ambient air  $NH_3$  concentration at equilibrium is expressed as (Asman *et al.*, 1994):

$$C_{eq} = \frac{M_{\rm NH_3} \left[ NH_{xs} \right]}{R \times T \times H_{\rm NH_3} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma_{\rm NH_3}} + \frac{10^{-pHs}}{\gamma_{\rm NH_4} \times K_{\rm NH_4}} \right]}, \tag{9.62}$$

where  $C_{eq}$  is in [µg m<sup>-3</sup>], [NH<sub>xs</sub>] is the NH<sub>x</sub> concentration in the sea [µM],  $M_{\rm NH_3}$  is the molecular mass of NH<sub>3</sub> [g mol<sup>-1</sup>],  $\gamma_{\rm NH_3}$  is the activity coefficient of NH<sub>3</sub>×H<sub>2</sub>O,  $\gamma_{\rm NH_4}$  is the activity coefficient of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in sea water, *R* is the gas constant (8.2075×10<sup>-5</sup> atm. m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) and  $H_{\rm NH_3}$  is the Henry's law coefficient for NH<sub>3</sub> [M atm<sup>-1</sup>], *pHs* is the pH in sea water, which is a measure of the activity of H<sup>+</sup> in sea water, and  $K_{\rm NH_4}$  is the dissociation constant for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> [M]. The values for  $H_{\rm NH_3}$  and  $K_{\rm NH_4}$  are expressed as:

$$H_{\rm NH3} = 56 {\rm EXP} \left( 4092 \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15} \right) \right),$$
 (9.63)

$$K_{\rm NH4} = 5.67 \times 10^{-10} \,\rm{EX} \, P \left(-6286 \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15}\right)\right). \tag{9.64}$$

The above formulation was developed for computing the impact of bi-directional fluxes over the North Sea and applied to measured data (Asman *et al.*, 1994). The formulation has since been applied in the Lagrangian ACDEP model (Sørensen *et al.*, 2003), where the results showed a redistribution of N deposition in the coastal region off the coast of the Netherlands.

### Nitric oxide

NO is rather water-insoluble and there is no efficient mechanism for NO to react on the surface or inside leaves, so its deposition rate is rather slow. By contrast, soils commonly act as a source for NO. Some of these soil emissions of NO are oxidized to  $NO_2$  (and possibly  $HNO_3$ ) within plant canopies, and taken up more efficiently than NO and thus the behaviour of NO still needs to be taken into account in surface–atmosphere exchange.

#### Nitrogen dioxide

Plant uptake of NO<sub>2</sub> is slower than that of the more water soluble gases (HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>), but it is a significant contributor to N deposition. The NO<sub>2</sub> deposition to vegetation is primarily regulated by stomata, and for most plants the internal resistance is negligible, and NO<sub>2</sub> deposition velocities may thus be computed from a knowledge of stomatal resistance or conductance (Thoene et al., 1991). Studies indicate a small effective stomatal compensation point for NO<sub>2</sub> for some plant species, in the range of > 0 to 2 ppb; e.g. an American experimental study found a value of 1.5 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> for the canopy compensation point for NO<sub>2</sub> over deciduous forest (Horii *et al.*, 2004). However, the underlying process is not currently understood, and some laboratory work has failed to reproduce the field observations. Because of its low water solubility, deposition to (and reaction with) surface water, including sea water, is also slow (Cape et al., 1993).

### **Nitrous acid**

The biosphere/atmosphere exchange of HONO is generally bi-directional, and daytime concentrations of HONO are low, as it is rapidly photolysed in sunlight. With solubility similar to NH<sub>3</sub>, HONO is deposited to vegetation under most conditions. Observations of HONO emission have been attributed to production of HONO at surfaces, e.g. through the reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with NO on wet surfaces (Harrison and Kitto, 1994) or NO<sub>2</sub> reduction on humic acid (Stemmler *et al.*, 2006). In connection with an experimental study, a parameterization of bi-directional fluxes of both NH<sub>3</sub> and HONO was applied for estimating dry deposition of N compounds to the Amazon Basin from measured ambient air concentrations (Trebs *et al.*, 2006).

#### Organic nitrogen compounds

Organic N compounds account for approximately 20%–30% of the total N deposition in precipitation (Cape *et al.*, 2001; Cornell *et al.*, 2003; Holland *et al.*, 1999) although this is often not included in N deposition estimates. Much of this organic contribution is presumably due to scavenging of organic N



Figure 9.17 The processes of capture of pollutants by cloud and rain.

A - dissolution B - oxidation C - diffusiophoresis D - Brownian diffusion E - impaction F - cloud condensation nuclei pathway

compounds in the aerosol phase and cloud water. However, the contribution of gaseous organic N compounds to N deposition is even less studied. PAN is considered an important N reservoir species, responsible for much of the N transport in remote regions. PAN is thought to deposit slowly and remains stable at cold temperatures. At warmer tropospheric temperatures PAN decomposes quickly. Newly developed instruments have resulted in new measurements indicating deposition rates of PAN (and other PAN-like compounds) that are significantly larger than classical predictions (Turnipseed et al., 2006; Wolfe et al., 2009), especially to wet vegetation. Thus the lifetime of PAN with respect to deposition may be shorter than previously thought. In addition, PAN is water insoluble and the comparably large deposition fluxes to wet surfaces indicate that the current mechanistic understanding of the deposition process is incomplete. There are parallels to the deposition of O<sub>3</sub>, which also appears to exhibit larger deposition rates to wet surfaces than can be explained by its solubility (Fowler et al., 2001). The importance of alkyl nitrates has recently been demonstrated for Blodgett Forest, Sierra Nevada, USA (Farmer et al., 2006), although it appears that the pollution climate of their site is quite unique. Nevertheless, information is lacking to form a robust picture of the importance of these compounds across the full range of European conditions. Although amines have been measured as emitted from agricultural activities (Schade and Crutzen, 1995), there is currently no information on their dry deposition.

## 9.4.3 Deposition of N containing aerosols

Deposition of particles containing  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $NO_3^{-}$ ,  $Cl^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  contributes to the potential acidification and eutrophication (N components) of ecosystems. Compared to gaseous deposition of acidifying compounds onto low vegetation, particle deposition fluxes are usually found to be small. However, in difference from wet deposition it takes place all the time and furthermore it is believed that the fluxes of small particles are currently underestimated for very rough surfaces like forests. Erisman *et al.* (1997) found that deposition of aerosols to the Speulder forest contributed 20% and 40% to the total dry deposition of S and N, respectively. Parameterizations of aerosol dry deposition velocities to forests differ greatly between models (Tang *et al.*, 2009).

## 9.5 Wet scavenging of N compounds from the atmosphere

Wet deposition or scavenging is defined as the removal of gases and aerosol from the atmosphere by precipitation snow, rain. Unlike dry deposition, the wet deposition processes are indirect; rain, hail and snow are the vectors for transport of the pollutant to the surface. The apparent simplicity of the measurement approach for wet deposition, a simple precipitation collector placed on the ground contrasts appreciably with the underlying physical and chemical pathways of solutes into the collected precipitation

Ole Hertel

sample. There is also significant uncertainty in the relative magnitudes of dry deposition of trace chemical species as gases and aerosols onto the collecting equipment.

The incorporation of pollutants in clouds and precipitation include many different processes, which will be considered in turn. The N<sub>r</sub> compounds are present in aerosols or as gases. Regarding aerosols, the N is mainly present as  $NH_4^+$  or  $NO_3^-$  (although some organic N is also present). The bulk of the aerosol mass is present in the size range 0.1–1.0 µm (diameter). These aerosols are removed through interception by falling rain or snow, a process known as *washout* or by incorporation of the aerosol into cloud droplets within clouds, a process known as *rainout* (Figure 9.17).

Washout is responsible for 10%–20% of the N in wet deposition on average, but depends naturally on the relative amounts of N present in cloud water and in the air through which the precipitation falls. The aerosol scavenging within cloud occurs through a number of physical and chemical pathways (Figure 9.17) as C, D, E and F while the gases are incorporated through solution and oxidation processes (A and B). The phoretic process includes diffusiophoresis, in which aerosol particles are transported in the direction of a mean flux of vapour molecules. In the case of a cloud droplet growing by vapour







Figure 9.19 The seeder–feeder process of orographic enhancement of precipitation. *Source*: Fowler and Battarbee, 2005.

diffusion of water molecules towards the droplet surface, aerosols would move along the vapour flux towards the growing droplet. Additional phoretic mechanisms are presented by electrical and thermal gradients (electrophoresis and thermophoresis respectively). The phoretic processes contribute relatively small amounts of the solute in cloud water (Goldsmith et al., 1963). Aerosols may also be captured by cloud droplets following Brownian diffusion (D) to the droplet surface and rates of Brownian diffusion vary strongly with particle size, being significant for particles smaller than 100 nm in diameter. However, diffusion rates are very small relative to molecular diffusion and diffusional mechanisms make only minor contributions to the wet removal pathway. The remaining minor process leading to capture of aerosols by cloud droplets is impaction and interception (E). As implied in the name these processes lead to the capture of aerosols by droplets when one is unable to follow the streamlines of airflow around the other and the aerosol and droplet collide. The bulk of the aerosol N in cloud water is incorporated through the activation of aerosols containing  $NO_3^-$  or  $NH_4^+$  into cloud droplets. The N containing aerosols are effective cloud condensation nuclei and are readily incorporated into cloud droplets through the nucleation scavenging pathway. Thus the main route is nucleation scavenging for aerosol NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (Pruppacher and Jaenicke, 1995). The pathway for below wet scavenging of the gaseous N compounds depends on the solubility and reactivity of the specific gas. In the case of NH<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub>, which are highly soluble, clouds and rain remove these gases effectively from the air. The contribution of NO and NO2 to dissolved N in precipitation is very small as these gases are not very soluble (relative to NH<sub>3</sub> or HNO<sub>3</sub>).

Wet deposition is monitored by simple methods (precipitation collectors) analysed for major anthropogenic ions  $SO_4^{2-}$ , NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, H<sup>+</sup> and marine ions Cl<sup>-</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>. The networks of collectors for precipitation chemistry are much less dense than precipitation collectors for the national meteorological services, mainly because of the costs of chemical analysis. Furthermore, precipitation chemistry collectors are located a height above ground to reduce contamination from ground based sources, and the practice of locating collectors above the ground reduces the capture of small droplets due to aerodynamic screening by the collector. The relative contributions to deposition from dry and wet deposition change with distance from source as primary pollutant concentrations decline and oxidation from gas to particle remove gas phase species which dry deposit quickly. Thus the areas more than a few hundred km from sources receive most of their N deposition in precipitation.

In regions in which the amounts of precipitation are large, wet deposition dominates the N loads, as in most of the uplands of Europe. However, it is not simply the precipitation amount that needs to be considered in assessing the relative contributions of wet and dry deposition. The processes leading to orographic enhancement of rainfall amount have a profound effect on the overall scavenging of pollutants from the atmosphere. The meteorological process which enhances precipitation in much of maritime northern Europe is the seeder–feeder



**Figure 9.20** The incorporation of pollutant aerosols into orographic cloud. *Source*: Fowler *et al.*, (1991).

mechanism, in which orographic cloud, formed over hills and mountains is washed out by precipitation falling from higher levels in the troposphere, as shown in Figure 9.18 and first described by Bergeron (1965). The process occurs widely and is responsible for most of the enhancement of precipitation over uplands in the UK and Scandinavia. The process has been extensively studied in the UK, where, especially in the West of the country, annual rainfall is in the range 1000–3000 mm with the amounts in excess of 100 mm being mainly generated through seeder-feeder scavenging.

The mountains are very effective in increasing rainfall (Figure 9.18) and wet deposition by the seeder-feeder process (Figure 9.19) in which low level hill cloud droplets are washed out by falling precipitation from higher level. The hill cloud is more polluted than higher level cloud because boundary layer aerosols are effectively activated into cloud droplets as they are forced to rise and cool over the hills and mountains.

The seeder-feeder effects on precipitation amount have been simulated in process-based models and are able to simulate observed spatial patterns in precipitation (Carruthers and Choularton, 1983). Models have also been used to simulate the wet deposition of pollutants over mountains (Dore et al., 1990) and compared with detailed campaign measurements in an upland area. Extending the modelling of orographic enhancement of wet deposition to the country scale has enabled detailed spatially resolved wet deposition maps to be generated (Dore *et al.*, 1990). As orographic enhancement of wet deposition has been shown to be a major contributor to the total deposition in upland Britain the explicit inclusion of the process in deposition maps has been regarded as a routine component of wet deposition mapping (NEGTAP, 2001). The resulting wet, and total N deposition maps show a strong influence of altitude and requires a grid resolution on the same scale as the complex topography to reproduce (<10 km). Thus deposition modelling and mapping at a  $50 \text{ km} \times 50 \text{ km}$  scale fails to capture the spatial structure in wet deposition, as described in Simpson *et al.* (2011, Chapter 14, this volume). In principle the models are able to simulate the process as the literature shows, but the grid resolution of both the underpinning meteorological model and the model applied for deposition calculations need to be able to capture the topographic scale of the variability.

### **Cloud droplet deposition**

Unlike aerosols in the size range 0.1–1.0  $\mu$ m, which are not deposited efficiently on vegetation, the hill cloud droplets are large enough (3–10  $\mu$ m in diameter), to impact efficiently on vegetation (Fowler *et al.*, 1990); this deposition pathway is termed cloud deposition or *occult* deposition. For the UK it provides a very small contribution to the total but it is important for hills which are frequently shrouded in cloud (see Figure 9.20). As the concentrations of major ions in hill cloud are enhanced, this deposition pathway leads to the exposure of vegetation to very large concentrations (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, 1000  $\mu$ eq 1<sup>-1</sup>–2000  $\mu$ eq 1<sup>-1</sup>) (Fowler *et al.*, 1990).

The orographic enhancement of wet deposition is not included in the assessments of wet deposition in all countries, and for regions with only small areas of upland, this will not lead to significant underestimates in wet deposition. However, for areas of Europe in which seeder–feeder scavenging of pollutant represents a substantial contribution to total deposition, it is important to simulate the process in mapping regional wet deposition, to avoid underestimating wet deposition and exceedances of critical loads.

## 9.6 Summary of emerging issues and unanswered questions

There are many uncertainties and unanswered questions regarding the fate of  $N_r$  species in the atmosphere. These uncertainties and unanswered questions relate to various aspects of the governing atmospheric processes: emission, transformation, transport (see Simpson *et al.*, 2011, Chapter 14, this volume) and deposition.

Most of the N studies are concentrated on few mainly northern European countries. This applies for studies on agricultural NH<sub>3</sub> emissions. There is therefore a strong need for more field and monitoring studies of atmospheric N compounds in other parts of Europe, i.e. southern European countries.

## 9.6.1 Emissions

There is a need for emission inventories of higher spatial and temporal resolution than what is currently applied in atmospheric transport models, i.e. going from the traditional 50 km horizontal grid resolution towards inventories of 1-5 km and applying source specific seasonal and diurnal variations that account for actual meteorological conditions, local praxis, etc. The need for higher resolution inventories applies especially for NH<sub>3</sub> for which the near-source deposition plays a significant role, and current deposition mappings therefore face significant uncertainties due to insufficient resolution in the inventories. Detailed information about agricultural practice is necessary in order to derive these inventories that need to account for meteorological conditions and how these affect the emissions from different agricultural source categories.

### 9.6.2 Transformation

In relation to obtaining a better understanding of the transformation processes, there is a strong need for speciated field measurements and source apportionment studies of gas phase and particulate  $N_r$  compounds in the atmosphere. This applies especially to the organic N compounds for which the processes are not fully explored and the impact on the overall atmospheric N budget therefore still is fairly unknown.

Aerosol processes are in general subject for further development in atmospheric transport models as they still cannot fully explain observed  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  mass, and this applies naturally also for the N<sub>r</sub> compounds.

### 9.6.3 Deposition

New parameterizations are needed to describe bi-directional  $NH_3$  exchange in atmospheric transport models, which currently tend to overestimate dry deposition to fertilized vegetation or semi-natural vegetation subject to large atmospheric N inputs. To put these parameterizations onto robust footings it is necessary to (i) compile databases of stomatal compensation points for the major biomes, (ii) derive operational, mechanistic parameterizations for cuticular uptake resistances (e.g. in response to local pollution climates), and (iii) compile European agricultural management profiles of fertilizer application.

Litter decomposition is emerging as a potentially important source of atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub>, which is not well quantified, understood or parameterized.

Improved mechanisms are required to deal with sub-grid variability in NH<sub>3</sub> deposition. Due to limitation of the spatial

resolution, operational atmospheric transport models are usually not able to predict deposition to patch-work landscapes correctly. Because they average over rather large areas, the models underestimate the hotspots of dry deposition, which commonly results in the under prediction of critical loads exceedances. The large spatial variability in NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations across the typical European landscape also makes it hard to validate the models.

Direct micrometeorological measurement of dry deposition of reactive N compounds is limited to small-scale measurement campaigns, due to the costs of maintaining expensive instrumentation. Therefore dry deposition estimates usually rely on inferential modelling where deposition is derived from air concentrations which may either be measured or modelled, and (often uncertain) estimates of deposition velocities. Lowcost approaches are urgently required to provide robust direct measurements of N<sub>r</sub> deposition across an extensive flux measurement network.

The contribution of organic N compounds to total gaseous and particulate dry deposition is largely unknown. Evidence from the western USA suggests that alkyl nitrates can make a significant contribution to the total N flux (Farmer *et al.*, 2006), but for European conditions, this question remains largely unanswered. No fluxes have been measured for gaseous amines. New evidence suggests that PAN dry deposition rates may be much larger than assumed in classical modelling approaches (Turnipseed *et al.*, 2006). The contribution of PAN to dry deposition of N may therefore have been underestimated. This also highlights the fact that our current understanding of the dry deposition mechanism is incomplete as PAN appears to deposit faster than can be explained by its solubility alone.

### **Acknowledgements**

This chapter was prepared with the support of the NinE Programme of the European Science Foundation, the NitroEurope IP (funded by the European Commission) and the COST Action 729.

### References

- Anastasio, C. and McGregor, K. G. (2000). Photodestruction of dissolved organic nitrogen species in fog waters. *Aerosol Science* and Technology, **32**, 106–119.
- Anderson, N., Strader, R. and Davidson, C. (2003). Airborne reduced nitrogen: ammonia emissions from agriculture and other sources. *Environment International*, **29**, 277–286.
- Angelino, S., Suess, D. T. and Prather, K. A. (2001). Formation of aerosol particles from reactions of secondary and tertiary alkylamines: characterization by aerosol time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 35, 3130–3138.
- Apsimon, H. M., Barker, B. M. and Kayin, S. (1994). Modeling studies of the atmospheric release and transport of ammonia in anticyclonic episodes. *Atmospheric Environment*, **28**, 665–678.
- Asman, W. A. H., Harrison, R. M. and Ottley, C. J. (1994). Estimation of the net air-sea flux of ammonia over the Southern Bight of the North-Sea. *Atmospheric Environment*, **28**, 3647–3654.
- Baldwin, A. C. and Golden, D. M. (1979). Heterogeneous atmospheric reactions: sulfuric-acid aerosols as tropospheric sinks. *Science*, 206, 562–563.

Bange, H. W. and Williams, J. (2000). New directions: acetonitrile in atmospheric and biogeochemical cycles. *Atmospheric Environment*, 34, 4959–4960.

Beddows, D. C. S., Donovan, R. J., Harrison, R. M. *et al.* (2004).
Correlations in the chemical composition of rural background atmospheric aerosol in the UK determined in real time using time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 6, 124–133.

Bergeron, X. (1965). On the low-level redistribution of atmospheric water caused by orography. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cloud Physics*, Tokyo and Sapporo, 24 May–1 June 1965, pp. 96–100.

Berkowicz, R. (2000). OSPM: a parameterised street pollution model. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, **65**, 323–331.

Bertschi, I., Yokelson, R. J., Ward, D. E. *et al.* (2003). Trace gas and particle emissions from fires in large diameter and belowground biomass fuels. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **108**.

Blando, J. D. and Turpin, B. J. (2000). Secondary organic aerosol formation in cloud and fog droplets: a literature evaluation of plausibility. *Atmospheric Environment*, **34**, 1623–1632.

Bouwman, A. F., Lee, D. S., Asman, W. A. H. *et al.* (1997). A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **11**, 561–587.

Brook, J. R., Zhang, L. M., Di Giovanni, F. and Padro, J. (1999).
Description and evaluation of a model of deposition velocities for routine estimates of air pollutant dry deposition over North America. Part I: Model development. *Atmospheric Environment*, 33, 5037–5051.

Businger, J. A. (1982). The fluxes of specific enthalpy, sensible heat and latent-heat near the Earth's surface. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **39**, 1889–1892.

Businger, J. A., Wyngaard, J. C., Izumi, Y. and Bradley, E. F. (1971). Flux-profile relationships in atmospheric surface layer. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 28, 181–190.

Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kesik, M., Miehle, P., Papen, H. and Li, C. (2004). Quantifying the regional source strength of N-trace gases across agricultural and forest ecosystems with process based models. *Plant and Soil*, **260**, 311–329.

Calderon, S. M., Poor, N. D. and Campbell, S. W. (2007). Estimation of the particle and gas scavenging contributions to wet deposition of organic nitrogen. *Atmospheric Environment*, **41**, 4281–4290.

Cape, J. N., Storetonwest, R. L., Devine, S. F., Beatty, R. N. and Murdoch, A. (1993). The reaction of nitrogen-dioxide at low concentrations with natural-waters. *Atmospheric Environment Part A* – *General Topics*, **27**, 2613–2621.

Cape, J. N., Kirika, A., Rowland, A. P. *et al.* (2001). Organic nitrogen in precipitation: real problem or sampling artifact? *The Scientific World*, 1, 230–237.

Cape, J. N., Tang, Y. S., van Dijk, N. *et al.* (2004). Concentrations of ammonia and nitrogen dioxide at roadside verges, and their contribution to nitrogen deposition. *Environmental Pollution*, **132**, 469–478.

Carruthers, D. J. and Choularton, T. W. (1983). A model of the feeder seeder mechanism of orographic rain including stratification and wind-drift effects. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **109**, 575–588.

Carslaw, D. C., Beevers, S. D. and Bell, M. C. (2007). Risks of exceeding the hourly EU limit value for nitrogen dioxide resulting from increased road transport emissions of primary nitrogen dioxide. *Atmospheric Environment*, **41**, 2073–2082. Cicerone, R. J. and Zellner, R. (1983). The atmospheric chemistry of hydrogen-cyanide (HCN). *Journal of Geophysical Research – Oceans and Atmospheres*, **88**, 689–696.

Clapp, L. J. and Jenkin, M. E. (2001). Analysis of the relationship between ambient levels of  $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$  and NO as a function of  $NO_x$  in the UK. *Atmospheric Environment*, **35**, 6391–6405.

COPERT (2010). http://lat.eng.auth.gr/copert/

Cornell, S., Rendell, A. and Jickells, T. (1995). Atmospheric inputs of dissolved organic nitrogen to the oceans. *Nature*, **376**, 243–246.

Cornell, S. E., Jickells, T. D. and Thornton, C. A. (1998). Urea in rainwater and atmospheric aerosol. *Atmospheric Environment*, 32, 1903–1910.

Cornell, S., Mace, K., Coeppicus, S. *et al.* (2001). Organic nitrogen in Hawaiian rain and aerosol. *Journal of Geophysical Research* – *Atmospheres*, **106**, 7973–7983.

Cornell, S. E., Jickells, T. D., Cape, J. N., Rowland, A. P. and Duce, R. A. (2003). Organic nitrogen deposition on land and coastal environments: a review of methods and data. *Atmospheric Environment*, **37**, 2173–2191.

Daumer, B., Niessner, R. and Klockow, D. (1992). Laboratory studies of the influence of thin organic films on the neutralization reaction of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosol with ammonia. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, **23**, 315–325.

Davidson, E. A. and Kingerlee, W. (1997). A global inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 48, 37–50.

Derwent, R. and Hertel, O. (1998). Transformation of air pollutants. In: *Urban Air Pollution: European Aspects*, ed. J. Fenger, O. Hertel and F. Palmster, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

Dickerson, R. R., Stedman, D. H. and Delany, A. C. (1982). Direct measurements of ozone and nitrogen dioxide photolysis rates in the troposphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **78**, 4933–4946.

Dore, A. J., Choularton, T. W., Fowler, D. and Stortonwest, R. (1990). Field-measurements of wet deposition in an extended region of complex topography. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **116**, 1193–1212.

EANET (2010). www.eanet.cc

EDGAR (2010). http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu

Ellermann, T., Hertel, O., Monies, C., Kemp, K. and Skjøth, C. A. (2004). Atmospheric Deposition 2003, NOVA 2003. (In Danish: Amosfærisk deposition 2003, NOVA 2003.) Danish Ministry of the Environment, National Environmental Research Institute, Roskilde, Denmark.

Elzing, A. and Monteny, G. J. (1997). Ammonia emission in a scale model of a dairy-cow house. *Transactions of the ASAE*, **40**, 713–720.

EMEP (2010). http://www.emep.int

Erisman, J. W. and Draaijers, G. (1995). *Atmospheric Deposition in Relation to Acidification and Eutrophication*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Erisman, J. W., Draaijers, G., Duyzer, J. *et al.* (1997). Particle deposition to forests: summary of results and application. *Atmospheric Environment*, **31**, 321–332.

Eurotrac (2010). http://www.gsf.de/eurotrac

Fagerli, H. and Aas, W. (2008). Trends of nitrogen in air and precipitation: model results and observations at EMEP site in Europe, 1980–2003. *Environmental Pollution*, **154**(3), 448–461.

Fagerli, H., Hertel, O., Skjøth, C. A., Gyldenkærne, S. and Hutchings, N. (2007). Implementation of a dynamical ammonia emission

parameterization in the regional Unified EMEP chemical transport model Costa do Sauípe, Bahia, in Northeast Brazil.

Famulari, D., Fowler, D., Nemitz, E. et al. (2010). Development of a low-cost system for measuring conditional time-averaged gradients of SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 161, 11–27.

Farmer, D. K., Wooldridge, P. J. and Cohen, R. C. (2006). Application of thermal-dissociation laser induced fluorescence (TD-LIF) to measurement of HNO<sub>3</sub>, Sigma alkyl nitrates, Sigma peroxy nitrates, and NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes using eddy covariance. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6, 3471–3486.

Farquhar, G. D., Firth, P. M., Wetselaar, R. and Weir, B. (1980). On the gaseous exchange of ammonia between leaves and the environment: determination of the ammonia compensation point. *Plant Physiology*, **66**, 710–714.

Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. and Pitts, J. N., Jr. (1986). *Atmospheric Chemistry: Fundamentals and Experimental Techniques*. Wiley & Sons, New York.

Flechard, C. R., Fowler, D., Sutton, M. A. and Cape, J. N. (1999). A dynamic chemical model of bi-directional ammonia exchange between semi-natural vegetation and the atmosphere. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **125**, 2611–2641.

Flechard, C. R., Ambus, P., Skiba, U. *et al.* (2007). Effects of climate and management intensity on nitrous oxide emissions in grassland systems across Europe. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, 121, 135–152.

Fowler, D., Duyzer, J. H. and Baldocchi, D. D. (1991). Inputs of trace gases, particles and cloud droplets to terrestrial surfaces. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section B – Biological Sciences*, 97, 35–59.

Fowler, D., Flechard, C., Cape, J. N., Storeton-West, R. L. and Coyle, M. (2001). Measurements of ozone deposition to vegetation quantifying the flux, the stomatal and non-stomatal components. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, **130**, 63–74.

Fowler, D. and Battarbee, R., (2005). Climate change and pollution in the mountains: The nature of change. In: *Mountains of Northern Europe: Conservation Management, People and Nature*, ed. Thompson, D. E. B. A., Price, M. F. and Galbraith, C. A. Edinburgh, UK: Scottish Natural Heritage.

Fowler, D., Pilegaard, K., Sutton, M. A. et al. (2009). Atmospheric composition change: Ecosystems-Atmosphere Interactions. *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 5193–5267.

Friedrich, R. (2002). *Anthropogenic Emissions*, ed. P. Midgley and M. Reuther, Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands.

GEIA (2010). http://www.geiacenter.org

Gibb, S. W., Mantoura, R. F. C. and Liss, P. S. (1999). Oceanatmosphere exchange and atmospheric speciation of ammonia and methylamines in the region of the NW Arabian Sea. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **13**, 161–177.

Goldsmith, P., Delafield, H. J. and Cox, L. C. (1963). The role of diffusiophoresis in the scavenging of radioactive particles from the atmosphere. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 89, 43–50.

Gore, M. L., Aneja, V., Cooter, E. J., Dennis, R. and Pleim, J. E. (2009). Ammonia Emissions in the U.S.: Assessing the Role of Bi-directional Ammonia Transport using the Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) Model. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, UK.

Gorzelska, K., Galloway, J. N., Watterson, K. and Keene, W. C. (1992). Water-soluble primary amine compounds in rural continental precipitation. *Atmospheric Environment Part A – General Topics*, **26**, 1005–1018.

Gorzelska, K., Talbot, R. W., Klemm, K. *et al.* (1994). Chemicalcomposition of the atmospheric aerosol in the troposphere over the Hudson-Bay Lowlands and Quebec-Labrador regions of Canada. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **99**, 1763–1779.

Grant, R. and Blicher-Mathiesen, G. (2004). Danish policy measures to reduce diffuse nitrogen emissions from agriculture to the aquatic environment. *Water Science and Technology*, **49**, 91–99.

Gronberg, L., Lovkvist, P. and Jonsson, J. A. (1992). Measurement of aliphatic-amines in ambient air and rainwater. *Chemosphere*, **24**, 1533–1540.

Gundel, L. A., Daisey, J. M., Decarvalho, L. R. F., Kado, N. Y. and Schuetzle, D. (1993). Polar organic-matter in airborne particles: chemical characterization and mutagenic activity. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **27**, 2112–2119.

Gupta, A., Tang, D. and McMurry, P. H. (1995). Growth of monodisperse, submicron aerosol-particles exposed to SO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and NH<sub>3</sub>. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, **20**, 117–139.

Gyldenkærne, S., Skjøth, C. A., Hertel, O. and Ellermann, T. (2005). A dynamical ammonia emission parameterization for use in air pollution models. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **110**.

Hall, S. J., Matson, P. A. and Roth, P. M. (1996). NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from soil: implications for air quality modeling in agricultural regions. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment*, **21**, 311–346.

Hampson, R. F. and Gavin, D. (1978). *Reaction Rate and Photochemical Data for Atmospheric Chemistry – 1977.* National Bureau of Standards, US Government, Washington DC.

Harris, G. W., Klemp, D. and Zenker, T. (1992). An upper limit on the HCl near-surface mixing-ratio over the Atlantic measured using Tdlas. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, **15**, 327–332.

Harrison, R. M. and Kitto, A. M. N. (1994). Evidence for a surface source of atmospheric nitrous-acid. *Atmospheric Environment*, 28, 1089–1094.

Hatton, A. D. and Gibb, S. W. (1999). A technique for the determination of trimethylamine-N-oxide in natural waters and biological media. *Analytical Chemistry*, **71**, 4886–4891.

Hertel, O. and Brandt, J. (2009). Air pollution modelling. In: Air Pollution: From Local to Global Importance, ed. J. Fenger and J. C. Tjell, RSC Publishing and Polyteknisk Forlag, Copenhagen, pp. 293–326.

Hertel, O., Skjøth, C. A., Frohn, L. M. *et al.* (2002). Assessment of the atmospheric nitrogen and sulphur inputs into the North Sea using a Lagrangian model. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, **27**, 1507–1515.

Hertel, O., Skjøth, C. A., Løfstrøm, P. *et al.* (2006). Modelling nitrogen deposition on a local scale: a review of the current state of the art. *Environmental Chemistry*, **3**, 317–337.

Hicks, B. B. and Garland, J. A. (1983). Overview and suggestions for further research on dry deposition. In: *Precipitation Scavenging*. *Dry Deposition, and Resuspension*, ed. H. R. Pruppacher, R. G. Semonin and W. G. N. Slinn, Elsevier, New York.

Hicks, B. B., Baldocchi, D. D., Meyers, T. P., Hosker, R. P. and Matt, D. R. (1987). A preliminary multiple resistance routine for deriving dry deposition velocities from measured quantities. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 36, 311–330.

Hill, K. A., Shepson, P. B., Galbavy, E. S. *et al.* (2007). Processing of atmospheric nitrogen by clouds above a forest environment. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **112**.

Holland, E. A., Dentener, F. J., Braswell, B. H. and Sulzman, J. M. (1999). Contemporary and pre-industrial global reactive nitrogen budgets. *Biogeochemistry*, 46, 7–43.

Holzinger, R., Warneke, C., Hansel, A. *et al.* (1999). Biomass burning as a source of formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, methanol, acetone, acetonitrile, and hydrogen cyanide. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **26**, 1161–1164.

Holzinger, R., Jordan, A., Hansel, A. and Lindinger, W. (2001). Automobile emissions of acetonitrile: assessment of its contribution to the global source. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 38, 187–193.

Horii, C. V., Munger, J. W., Wofsy, S. C. *et al.* (2004). Fluxes of nitrogen oxides over a temperate deciduous forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **109**.

Hov, Ø., Hjøllo, B. A. and Eliassen, A. (1994). Transport distance of ammonia and ammonium in Northern Europe. 1. Model description. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **99**, 18735–18748.

Huntzicker, J. J., Cary, R. A. and Ling, C. S. (1980). Neutralization of sulfuric-acid aerosol by ammonia. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **14**, 819–824.

Husted, S., Schjørring, J. K., Nielsen, K. H., Nemitz, E. and Sutton, M. A. (2000). Stomatal compensation points for ammonia in oilseed rape plants under field conditions. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **105**, 371–383.

IGAC (2000). IGAC activities newsletter no. 22, December 2000.

Jarvis, S., Hutchings, N., Brentrup, F., Olesen, J. and van der Hoek, K. (2011). Nitrogen flows in farming systems across Europe. In: *The European Nitrogen Assessment*, ed. M. A. Sutton, C. M. Howard, J. W. Erisman, *et al.*, Cambridge University Press.

Jensen, S. S., Hvidberg, M., Petersen, J. *et al.* (2008). GIS-based National Road and Traffic Database 1960–2005. (In Danish: GISbaseret national vej- og trafikdatabase 1960–2005.) National Environmental Research Institute, University of Aarhus, Roskilde, Denmark.

Joffre, S. (1988). Modelling dry deposition of soluble gases to the sea surface. *Atmospheric Environment*, **22**, 1137–1146.

Junge, E. and Ryan, T. G. (1958). Study of the SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation in solution and its role in atmospheric chemistry. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **84**, 46–55.

Kallinger, G. and Niessner, R. (1999). Laboratory investigation of annular denuders as sampling system for the determination of aliphatic primary and secondary amines in stack gas. *Mikrochimica Acta*, **130**, 309–316.

Karl, T., Potosnak, M., Guenther, A. *et al.* (2004). Exchange processes of volatile organic compounds above a tropical rain forest: implications for modeling tropospheric chemistry above dense vegetation. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, 109.

Kieber, R. J., Long, M. S. and Willey, J. D. (2005). Factors influencing nitrogen speciation in coastal rainwater. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 52, 81–99.

Koerkamp, P. W. G. G., Metz, J. H. M., Uenk, G. H. *et al.* (1998). Concentrations and emissions of ammonia in livestock buildings in Northern Europe. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 70, 79–95.

Krab, E. J., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Lang, S. I. and van Logtestijn, R. S. P. (2008). Amino acid uptake among wide-ranging moss species may contribute to their strong position in higher-latitude ecosystems. *Plant and Soil*, **304**, 199–208. Kramm, G. (1989). A numerical-method for determining the dry deposition of atmospheric trace gases. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 48, 157–175.

Kramm, G. and Dlugi, R. (1994). Modeling of the vertical fluxes of nitric-acid, ammonia, and ammonium-nitrate. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, **18**, 319–357.

Kramm, G., Muller, H., Fowler, D. *et al.* (1991). A modified profile method for determining the vertical fluxes of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, ozone, and HNO<sub>3</sub> in the atmospheric surface-layer. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 13, 265–288.

Lambrecht, U. (2007). Legislation and future requirements for NO<sub>x</sub> reduction. Frankfurt, Germany.

Larsson, L., Ferm, M., Kasimir-Klemedtsson, A. and Klemedtsson, L. (1998). Ammonia and nitrous oxide emissions from grass and alfalfa mulches. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, **51**, 41–46.

Lee, D. S., Halliwell, C., Garland, J. A., Dollard, G. J. and Kingdon, R. D. (1998). Exchange of ammonia at the sea surface: a preliminary study. *Atmospheric Environment*, **32**, 431–439.

Li, C. Z. and Tan, L. L. (2000). Formation of  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  precursors during the pyrolysis of coal and biomass. Part III: Further discussion on the formation of HCN and  $NH_3$  during pyrolysis. *Fuel*, **79**, 1899–1906.

Lipson, D. and Nasholm, T. (2001). The unexpected versatility of plants: organic nitrogen use and availability in terrestrial ecosystems. *Oecologia*, **128**, 305–316.

Loubet, B., Milford, C., Sutton, M. A. and Cellier, P. (2001). Investigation of the interaction between sources and sinks of atmospheric ammonia in an upland landscape using a simplified dispersion-exchange model. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **106**, 24183–24195.

Mace, K. A. and Duce, R. A. (2002). Determination of urea in atmospheric aerosols and natural waters: a cation exchange method. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*, 82, 341–352.

Mace, K. A., Artaxo, P. and Duce, R. A. (2003a). Water-soluble organic nitrogen in Amazon Basin aerosols during the dry (biomass burning) and wet seasons. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **108**.

Mace, K. A., Duce, R. A. and Tindale, N. W. (2003b). Organic nitrogen in rain and aerosol at Cape Grim, Tasmania, Australia. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **108**.

Mace, K. A., Kubilay, N. and Duce, R. A. (2003c). Organic nitrogen in rain and aerosol in the eastern Mediterranean atmosphere: an association with atmospheric dust. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **108**.

Mainz (2010). www.mpch-mainz.mpg.de/~sander/res/henry.html

Massad, R. S., Nemitz, E. and Sutton, M. A. (2010a). Review and parameterisation of bi-directional ammonia exchange between vegetation and the atmosphere. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, **10**, 10335–10398.

Massad, R. S., Tuzet, A., Loubet, B., Perrier, A. and Cellier, P. (2010b). Model of stomatal ammonia compensation point (STAMP) in relation to the plant nitrogen and carbon metabolisms and environmental conditions. *Ecological Modelling*, **221**, 479–494.

Matsumoto, K. and Uematsu, M. (2005). Free amino acids in marine aerosols over the western North Pacific Ocean. *Atmospheric Environment*, **39**, 2163–2170.

McGregor, K. G. and Anastasio, C. (2001). Chemistry of fog waters in California's Central Valley Part II: Photochemical transformations of amino acids and alkyl amines. *Atmospheric Environment*, **35**, 1091–1104. Mckay, H. A. C. (1971). Atmospheric oxidation of sulphur dioxide in water droplets in presence of ammonia. *Atmospheric Environment*, 5, 7.

McMurry, P. H., Takano, H. and Anderson, G. R. (1983). Study of the ammonia (gas) sulfuric-acid (aerosol) reaction-rate. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **17**, 347–352.

Mikkelsen, M. H., Gyldenkærne, S., Poulsen, S. D., Olsen, J. E. and Sommer, S. G. (2005). *Inventory and Calculation Method for Agricultural Emissions of Ammonia and Greenhouse Gases in the Time Period 1985–2002.* (In Danish: Opgørelse og beregningsmetode for landbrugets emissioner af ammoniak og drivhusgasser – i perioden 1985–2002.) National Environmental Research Institute, University of Aarhus, Denmark, Roskilde, Denmark.

Milne, P. J. and Zika, R. G. (1993). Amino-acid nitrogen in atmospheric aerosols: occurrence, sources and photochemical modification. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 16, 361–398.

Moldanová, J., Grennfelt, P., Jonsson, Å. et al. (2011). Nitrogen as a threat to European air quality. In: *The European Nitrogen Assessment*, ed. M. A. Sutton, C. M. Howard, J. W. Erisman et al., Cambridge University Press.

Monteith, J. and Unsworth, M. (2008). *Principles of Environmental Physics*, 3rd edn, Elsevier, New York.

Muck, R. E. and Steenhuis, T. S. (1982). Nitrogen losses from manure storages. *Agricultural Wastes*, 4, 41–54.

NADP (2010). http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/

NatAir (2010). http://natair.ier.uni-stuttgart.de/

Neff, J. C., Holland, E. A., Dentener, F. J., McDowell, W. H. and Russell, K. M. (2002). The origin, composition and rates of organic nitrogen deposition: a missing piece of the nitrogen cycle? *Biogeochemistry*, 57, 99–136.

Neftel, A., Blatter, A., Hesterberg, R. and Staffelbach, T. (1996). Measurements of concentration gradients of HNO<sub>2</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> over a semi-natural ecosystem. *Atmospheric Environment*, **30**, 3017–3025.

Nemitz, E., Sutton, M. A., Wyers, G. P. and Jongejan, P. A. C. (2004). Gas-particle interactions above a Dutch heathland: I. Surface exchange fluxes of NH<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and HCl. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 4, 989–1005.

NEU (2010). http://www.nitroeurope.eu

Notholt, J., Hjorth, J. and Raes, F. (1992). Formation of HNO<sub>2</sub> on aerosol surfaces during foggy periods in the presence of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>. *Atmospheric Environment*, **26**A, 2111–2117.

Olesen, J. E. and Sommer, S. G. (1993). Modeling effects of windspeed and surface cover on ammonia volatilization from stored pig slurry. *Atmospheric Environment Part A – General Topics*, 27, 2567–2574.

OSPM (2010). ospm.dmu.dk

Ottley, C. J. and Harrison, R. M. (1992). The spatial-distribution and particle-size of some inorganic nitrogen, sulfur and chlorine species over the North-Sea. *Atmospheric Environment Part A* – *General Topics*, **26**, 1689–1699.

Oudendag, D. A. and Luesink, H. H. (1998). The manure model: manure, minerals (N, P and K), ammonia emission, heavy metals and the use of fertiliser in Dutch agriculture. *Environmental Pollution*, **102**, 241–246.

Paerl, H. W. and Whitall, D. R. (1999). Anthropogenically-derived atmospheric nitrogen deposition, marine eutrophication and harmful algal bloom expansion: is there a link? *Ambio*, **28**, 307–311.

Palmgren, F., Berkowicz, R., Hertel, O. and Vignati, E. (1996). Effects of reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> on the NO<sub>2</sub> levels in urban streets. *Science of the Total Environment*, **190**, 409–415.

Palmiotto, G., Pieraccini, G., Moneti, G. and Dolara, P. (2001). Determination of the levels of aromatic amines in indoor and outdoor air in Italy. *Chemosphere*, **43**, 355–361.

Pilegaard, K., Skiba, U., Ambus, P. *et al.* (2006). Nitrogen load and forest type determine the soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and N<sub>2</sub>O). *Biogeosciences*, **3**, 651–661.

Pinder, R. W., Strader, R., Davidson, C. I. and Adams, P. J. (2004). A temporally and spatially resolved ammonia emission inventory for dairy cows in the United States. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38, 3747–3756.

Pinder, R. W., Adams, P. J. and Pandis, S. N. (2007). Ammonia emission controls as a cost-effective strategy for reducing atmospheric particulate matter in the eastern United States. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **41**, 380–386.

Pio, C. A. and Harrison, R. M. (1987a). The equilibrium of ammonium-chloride aerosol with gaseous hydrochloric-acid and ammonia under tropospheric conditions. *Atmospheric Environment*, 21, 1243–1246.

Pio, C. A. and Harrison, R. M. (1987b), Vapor-pressure of ammonium-chloride aerosol: effect of temperature and humidity. *Atmospheric Environment*, 21, 2711–2715.

Pruppacher, H. R. and Jaenicke, R. (1995). The processing of watervapor and aerosols by atmospheric clouds, a global estimate. *Atmospheric Research*, **38**, 283–295.

Qualls, R. and Haines, B. L. (1992). Measuring adsorption-isotherms using continuous, unsaturated flow through intact soil cores. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **56**, 456–460.

Quinn, P. K., Charlson, R. J. and Bates, T. S. (1988). Simultaneous observations of ammonia in the atmosphere and ocean. *Nature*, **335**, 336–338.

RAINS (2010). http://www.iiasa.ac.at/rains/index.html

Riedo, M., Milford, C., Schmid, M. and Sutton, M. A. (2002). Coupling soil-plant-atmosphere exchange of ammonia with ecosystem functioning in grasslands. *Ecological Modelling*, **158**, 83–110.

Ruijgrok, W., Tieben, H. and Eisinga, P. (1997). The dry deposition of particles to a forest canopy: a comparison of model and experimental results. *Atmospheric Environment*, **31**, 399–415.

Saxena, P. and Hildemann, L. M. (1996). Water-soluble organics in atmospheric particles: a critical review of the literature and application of thermodynamics to identify candidate compounds. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 24, 57–109.

Schade, G. W. and Crutzen, P. J. (1995). Emission of aliphatic-amines from animal husbandry and their reactions: potential source of N<sub>2</sub>O and HCN. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, **22**, 319–346.

Scheller, E. (2001). Amino acids in dew: origin and seasonal variation. *Atmospheric Environment*, **35**, 2179–2192.

Schjørring, J. K., Husted, S. and Poulsen, M. M. (1998). Soil-plantatmosphere ammonia exchange associated with *Calluna vulgaris* and *Deschampsia flexuosa*. Atmospheric Environment, 32, 507–512.

Shim, C. S., Wang, Y. H., Singh, H. B., Blake, D. R. and Guenther, A. B. (2007). Source characteristics of oxygenated volatile organic compounds and hydrogen cyanide. *Journal of Geophysical Research–Atmospheres*, **112**.

Simpson, D., Aas, W., Bartnicki, J. et al. (2011). Atmospheric transport and deposition of nitrogen in Europe. In: *The European Nitrogen*  Assessment, ed. M. A. Sutton, C. M. Howard, J. W. Erisman et al., Cambridge University Press.

Skiba, U., Fowler, D. and Smith, K. A. (1997). Nitric oxide emissions from agricultural soils in temperate and tropical climates: sources, controls and mitigation options. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 48, 139–153.

Skiba, U., Nemitz, E. and Flechard, C. (2007). Land atmosphere exchange of reactive nitrogen. In: *Proceedings of the ACCENT Workshop*, Urbino, Italy, 23–27 July 2007.

Skjøth, C. A., Hertel, O., Gyldenkærne, S. and Ellermann, T. (2004). Implementing a dynamical ammonia emission parameterization in the large-scale air pollution model ACDEP. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **109**.

Skjøth, C. A., Gyldenkærne, S., Ellermann, T., Mikkelsen, M. H. and Hertel, O. (2008). Footprints on ammonia concentrations from emission regulation. *Journal of Air and Waster Management*, 58, 1158–1165.

Slinn, W. G. N. (1982). Predictions for particle deposition to vegetative canopies. *Atmospheric Environment*, **16**, 1785–1794.

Sommer, S. G., Østergård, H. S., Løfstrøm, P., Andersen, H. V. and Jensen, L. S. (2009). Validation of model calculation of ammonia deposition in the neighbourhood of a poultry farm using measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations and N deposition. *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 915–920.

Sørensen, L. L., Hertel, O., Skjøth, C. A., Lund, M. and Pedersen, B. (2003). Fluxes of ammonia in the coastal marine boundary layer. *Atmospheric Environment*, 37, S167–S177.

Sørensen, L. L., Pryor, S. C., de Leeuw, G. and Schulz, M. (2005). Flux divergence of nitric acid in the marine atmospheric surface layer. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **110**.

Sorteberg, A. and Hov, Ø. (1996). Two parametrizations of the dry deposition exchange for SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> in a numerical model. *Atmospheric Environment*, **30**, 1823–1840.

Spokes, L., Jickells, T., Weston, K. *et al.* (2006). MEAD: An interdisciplinary study of the marine effects of atmospheric deposition in the Kattegat. *Environmental Pollution*, **140**, 453–462.

Sprung, D., Jost, C., Reiner, T., Hansel, A. and Wisthaler, A. (2001). Acetone and acetonitrile in the tropical Indian Ocean boundary layer and free troposphere: aircraft-based intercomparison of AP-CIMS and PTR-MS measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **106**, 28511–28527.

Stelson, A. W., Friedlander, S. K. and Seinfeld, J. H. (1979). Note on the equilibrium relationship between ammonia and nitric-acid and particulate ammonium-nitrate. *Atmospheric Environment*, 13, 369–371.

Stelson, A. W. and Seinfeld, J. H. (1982). Thermodynamic prediction of the water activity, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> dissociation-constant, density and refractive-index for the NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>–(NH<sub>4</sub>)2SO<sub>4</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O system at 25-Degrees-C. *Atmospheric Environment*, **16**, 2507–2514.

Stemmler, K., Ammann, M., Donders, C., Kleffmann, J. and George, C. (2006). Photosensitized reduction of nitrogen dioxide on humic acid as a source of nitrous acid. *Nature*, 440, 195–198.

Stohl, A., Williams, E., Wotawa, G. and KrompKolb, H. (1996). A European inventory of soil nitric oxide emissions and the effect of these emissions on the photochemical formation of ozone. *Atmospheric Environment*, **30**, 3741–3755.

Sutton, M. A., Burkhardt, J. K., Guerin, D., Nemitz, E. and Fowler, D. (1998). Development of resistance models to describe

measurements of bi-directional ammonia surface-atmosphere exchange. *Atmospheric Environment*, **32**, 473–480.

Sutton, M. A., Fowler, D. and Moncrieff, J. B. (1993). The exchange of atmospheric ammonia with vegetated surfaces. 1. Unfertilized vegetation. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 119, 1023–1045.

Sutton, M. A., Dragosits, U., Tang, Y. S. and Fowler, D. (2000). Ammonia emissions from non-agricultural sources in the UK. *Atmospheric Environment*, **34**, 855–869.

Tang, Y. S., Simmons, I., van Dijk, N. *et al.* (2009). European scale application of atmospheric reactive nitrogen measurements in a low-cost approach to infer dry deposition fluxes. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **133**, 183–195.

Thoene, B., Schroder, P., Papen, H., Egger, A. and Rennenberg, H. (1991). Absorption of Atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> by Spruce (*Picea-abies* l Karst) Trees. Part I: NO<sub>2</sub> influx and its correlation with nitrate reduction. *New Phytologist*, **117**, 575–585.

Trebs, I., Lara, L. L., Zeri, L. M. M. *et al.* (2006). Dry and wet deposition of inorganic nitrogen compounds to a tropical pasture site (Rondonia, Brazil). *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **6**, 447–469.

Tuazon, E. C., Atkinson, R., Aschmann, S. M. and Arey, J. (1994). Kinetics and products of the gas-phase reactions of O<sub>3</sub> with amines and related-compounds. *Research on Chemical Intermediates*, 20, 303–320.

Turnipseed, A. A., Huey, L. G., Nemitz, E. *et al.* (2006). Eddy covariance fluxes of peroxyacetyl nitrates (PANs) and NOy to a coniferous forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*, **111**.

Twigg, M. (2006). Application of tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy for the investigation of surface/atmosphere exchange of ammonia. Ph.D. thesis, University of Ulster.

Van Hove, L. W. A., Adema, E. H., Vredenberg, W. J. and Pieters, G. A. (1989). A study of the adsorption of  $NH_3$  and  $SO_2$  on leaf surfaces. *Atmospheric Environment*, **23**, 1479–1486.

Van Jaarsveld, H. (2004). *The Operational Priority Substances Model: Description and Validation of OPS-Pro 4.1.* National Institute for Public Health and Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.

Vestreng, V., Nziachristos, L., Semb, A., Isaksen, I. S. A. and Tarrason, L. (2008). Evolution of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in Europe with focus on road transport control measures. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, **8**, 10697–10747.

Walcek, C. J., Brost, R. A., Chang, J. S. and Wesely, M. L. (1986). SO<sub>2</sub>, sulfate and HNO<sub>3</sub> deposition velocities computed using regional land-use and meteorological data. *Atmospheric Environment*, **20**, 949–964.

Wall, S. M., John, W. and Ondo, J. L. (1988). Measurements of aerosol size distributions for nitrate and major ionic speices. *Atmospheric Environment*, **22**, 1649–1656.

Warneke, C., van der Veen, C., Luxembourg, S., de Gouw, J. A. and Kok, A. (2001). Measurements of benzene and toluene in ambient air using proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry: calibration, humidity dependence, and field intercomparison. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, **207**, 167–182.

Wesely, M. L., Cook, D. R., Hart, R. L. and Speer, R. E. (1985). Measurements and parameterization of particulate sulfur dry deposition over grass. *Journal of Geophysical Research* – *Atmospheres*, **90**, 2131–2143.

Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., Yatavelli, R. L. N. *et al.* (2009). Eddy covariance fluxes of acyl peroxy nitrates (PAN, PPN and MPAN) above a Ponderosa pine forest. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 615–634. Zhang, L., Wright, L. P. and Asman, W. A. H. (2010). Bi-directional air-surface exchange of atmospheric ammonia – a review of measurements and a development of a big-leaf model for applications in regional-scale air-quality models. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres*.

Zhang, Q. and Anastasio, C. (2001). Chemistry of fog waters in California's Central Valley – Part 3: concentrations and speciation of organic and inorganic nitrogen. *Atmospheric Environment*, 35, 5629–5643.

Zhang, Q. and Anestasio, C. (2003). Free and combined amino compounds in atmospheric fine particles (PM2.5) and fog

waters from Northern California. *Atmospheric Environment*, **37**, 2247–2258.

- Zhang, R. H., Day, D. L., Christianson, L. L. and Jepson, W. P. (1994). A computer-model for predicting ammonia release rates from swine manure pits. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 58, 223–229.
- Zhang, Y. H., ten Brink, H., Slanina, J. and Wyers, G. P. (1995). The influence of ammonium nitrate equilibrium on the measurement of exchange fluxes of ammonia and nitric acid. In: *Acid Rain Research: Do We Have Enough Answers?*, ed. G. J. Heij and J. W. Erisman, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam.